## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Virtual Meeting

April 22, 2021
7:00 p.m. - 10:02 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Loretta Taylor, Chairperson

Steven Kessler, Member

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairperson

George Kimmerling, Member

Jeff Rothfeder, Member

1	April 22, 2021
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MS. LORETTA TAYLOR: Alright. I am
4	calling the meeting, the special meeting to
5	order. This is Thursday evening, April 27
6	22nd, sorry. We'll begin with the pledge to the
7	flag.
8	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Alright. I'll, I'll
9	take care of that.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Good. Thank you.
11	MR. KEHOE: I pledge allegiance to the
12	flag of the United States of America and to the
13	republic for which it stands, one nation, under
14	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
15	all.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Mr. Kehoe, would
17	you do the roll call for us? Thank you.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi.
19	MR. THOMAS A. BIANCHI: Here.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kimmerling.
21	MR. GEORGE KIMMERLING: Here.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder.
23	MR. JEFF ROTHFEDER: Here.
24	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Here.

MR. KEHOE: Ms. Taylor.

MS. TAYLOR: Here.

MR. KEHOE: Mr. Foley noted is absent.

MS. TAYLOR: Alrighty. Very good.

Mr. Subin?

MR. JOSHUA SUBIN: Here.

Alright. So we're going to begin with a couple of guidelines and then we will get into, directly into the comments from the participants. This is a public hearing for PB 6-15, the application of Hudson Ridge Wellness Center Inc, for Site Development Plan approval and a Special Permit for a hospital to be located at the former Hudson Institute property to provide a New York State Office of Addiction Services and Support certified, 92-bed facility to treat individuals with chemical dependency issues, located at 2016 Quaker Ridge Road, the latest revised drawings on March 20, 2019. Alright. Now, we are going to ask that you use your -- I'm speaking to the audience now. I'm going to ask that you use the

raise your hand function to speak and you would

1

24

2 be promoted to speaker in the order in which your hand is raised. Please be as brief as possible 3 to allow time for others to speak. Wherever 4 5 possible, avoid repeating comments that have already been made, and keep your comments to 6 7 specific issues related to this application. 8 not expect questions to be responded to by the 9 applicant or the Planning Board at this meeting. 10 They will be responded to by the applicant at 11 subsequent meetings. Please avoid heated 12 exchanges. The public hearing is not an occasion 13 for debate or back and forth. It is to get your 14 questions or issues into the record. 15 hearing, or this hearing will end at 10:00 p.m. 16 or earlier, and will be probably adjourned to the 17 May 4th mee-, session. Please also remember in 18 the interim, you may send your con- concerns, 19 comments in writing to Chris Kehoe in the 20 Planning Office if you cannot speak tonight. 21 Your comments will become part of the record. So 22 we are ready now to have the participants speak. 2.3 MR. MICHAEL PREZIOSI: Loretta, I just,

I just wanted to mention that the applicant's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

attorney, Robert Davis, is having trouble logging on, so when he does log on, we'll, we'll promote him as well. I'm going to call the first speaker to, to speak. That would be Secunda. If you can -- I'll promote you to panelist, and you, if you can unmute yourself and state your name and address for the record.

MR. TOM SECUNDA: Hello, I'm Tom Secunda. I live at 62 Teatown Road. I was hoping that I would speak after the presentation of our architect, but I will speak in advance and hopefully you'll get a chance to see that information, either tonight or, or, or through the filing. But basically what, what the architect has done is he's gone out and compared the Hudson Institute to five of the other hospitals, similar, that they've referenced, and when you look at this, you'll see that they are very, very different. They're different in the number of staff, they're different in the layout, and they're dramatically different in the space, you know, it's kind of a Sesame Street moment where you say, you know, one of these plans is

24

1

not like the other, one of these plans doesn't belong. It is clearly either an ill conceived plan or a fraudulent plan. I, you know, I guess I would ask the Planning Board, as part of their due diligence, to ask the applicant to go and to actually show how, in the existing facil-, footprint and facility, they, they would possibly put 94 units, 94 people, along the kind of quality that they claim they're going to do. It's just not possible. You know, if the Planning Board was looking at a new development of three-bedroom house, and the applicant said that the hou-, the house size was going to be 150 to 200 square feet, the Planning Board would go and say, hey, prove that to me, show me that you could accomplish what you're saying. And that's what we're asking. If the applicant can figure out a way to do this, then that's fine, then that issue goes away, and it's resolved, and we can move on to the other issues. Figuring out how much traffic or how much water use, or how much disruption in the neighborhood is likely to happen on a plan that is illogical and unlikely

24

1

to happen is not a good use of our time. those, along those lines as well, is I've seen the Planning Board work really hard, and we appreciate it as neighbors, that when these kinds of plans come up, they try to minimize the impact on the neighborhood if they're going to accept the plan. So where you put streetlights, where you put parking, you know, the, the applicant's plan, because of its -- the physical plan is there, puts most of the facility along the borderline of its neighbors. They can't move their buildings, but they can be more responsible about parking and lighting. So once again, I would ask the Planning Board first to figure out whether this proposal is legitimate and possible, and then to work with the applicant to minimize the impact on nearby neighbors, like you would for any kind of development. Again, you know, it is our considered opinion that there is something fishy here, whether it's intentional or not, I can't comment on, I, I do not know the applicant, but it is impossible to build a clinic of the sort that they say with 92 beds in the physical

plan that they have, and although it might not be the Planning Board's job to determine whether an enterprise will be successful or not, I think it is their job to make sure that it's a legitimate plan, and that it could be executed, and it's not somebody sort of putting their foot in the door to make sure that they could wait or go and ask for something significantly different. Thank you for your time. I understand how difficult this process is --

MR. KESSLER: Mr. Secunda, you realize that it is not our job to determine if 92 beds or 94 beds is right or not, there's a regulatory authority, so to your analogy to housing, there isn't a regulatory authority that says whether you can have, you know, one, two, three, four bedrooms, but there is a regulatory authority that's going to determine whether 94 beds or 24 beds is appropriate for this facility. That's not something that we decide on.

MR. SECUNDA: That is absolutely correct, but they've given you a proposal that says in this physical plan, we are going to build

1 April 22, 2021 2 a facility that looks like the Betty Ford Clinic, which is clearly not true, so. 3 4 MR. KESSLER: Well -- okay. But, but 5 our issue's not to compare this to others. There is a regulatory authority here that's going to 6 7 make a determination. Now, we, if this were to be approved, certainly we would say the applicant 8 9 asked for 94 beds, but that's going to be subject 10 to whatever the regulatory authority approves 11 ultimately. Just like, you know, we don't 12 approve a septic system, the, the Health 13 Department's going to approve a septic system. 14 It's the same thing with the beds here. 15 MR. SECUNDA: No, we, we disagree, 16 because we think --17 MR. KESSLER: You, you can't disagree, 18 it's a fact. 19 MR. SECUNDA: Okay, let, let me finish, 20 please. 21 MR. KESSLER: Sure. 22 MR. SECUNDA: You know, it is your job 2.3 to make sure that it's a responsible proposal

that's going in and that it is not something

24

2.3

that, that is not possible to do. You would do that with a housing thing, the same way that you would not allow somebody to go in and put in a 200-square foot three, three bedroom house because you know it couldn't be done. So we're looking at the Planning Board to protect us so that we don't -- yes, they -- it will go to OASAS and do all these other kinds of things, there's a long period of time that this, this goes through, but in the first step, you have a plan that's not possible, you're approving something that can't happen. How could you do that?

MR. KESSLER: I, I, I hear what you're saying, Mr. Secunda, but again, they have, they have the buildings, and ultimately there'll be another authority that's going to determine exactly what you're asking about, and then that is not us. Right or wrong, it is not us. I sympathize with you, but it's not us.

MR. SECUNDA: Okay. I mean, that's certainly what you're stating. I disagree with you.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. That's why they

2.3

2 have public hearings, for disagreements.

MR. SECUNDA: And that's fine. It's also a legal issue, which I guess will be resolved that way as well. I guess my point is, again, that it is your responsibility to protect the neighborhood from fraudulent actions, and it is quite possible, I'm not accusing anybody, but it is quite possible that this is not a legitimate plan, and you're approving an illegitimate plan. That's, that's not you saying that we don't think these guys can make money or not make money, it's that what they're stating is factually false, and they're presenting to you something that's factually false, and you're approving it.

MR. KESSLER: Appreciate your opinion.

MR. SECUNDA: Okay. Well, thank you for your time.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: I'm going to promote Mr. Davis to speaker and panelist. He, he joined us a little bit late. He's the applicant's attorney and representative tonight.

21

22

2.3

24

MR. ROBERT DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Preziosi. Good evening, members of the board. Thank you for having this meeting tonight. Bob Davis, attorney for the applicants. As agreed with Chairperson Taylor and the Board, we are waiting to the extent we can 'til the end of the hearing to respond to public comment, so I will be brief again tonight. At the April 6th hearing session it became apparent that the primary topic raised by residents from a different neighborhood, who are not represented by Mr. Schwartz, was the access easement recently obtained by the applicants over an adjoining property which is owned by an affiliate. As the applicants had no intention of ever using that particular easement, which is physically impractical in any event, unless required by the town, I believe my letter of April 7 should put a rest to any concerns in that regard. According to Mr. Schwartz, however, my April 7 letter generated his letter of April 16, even though his letter had very little to do with the easement, and we don't consider his letter to be real

24

1

public comment as it really just repeats innuendo and conspiracy theories, we, we keep hearing these allegations of fraud, and by the way, as Mr. Kessler said, 92 beds is the maximum that we've proposed, and of course, if it becomes less due to OASAS requirements or the Building Code, so be it, and that should only mean less impacts on the neighbors, so they should be happy about But in any event, with respect to Mr. Schwartz's letter, we'll respond now briefly just to correct a few false statements that are in that letter. First, my letter did not contain a "story" about the access ease, but -- or the applicant's version of events as stated by Mr. Schwartz, but simply the actual facts regarding the acquisition and nature of the easement and the restriction which the applicants will place upon it to eliminate any concern. Mr. Schwartz's statements of his "suspicions" and being on high alert because of the applicant's "pattern of odd behavior", I didn't realize we're engaging in odd behavior, and trickling out of information on its own terms, in my view are patently ridiculous.

24

1

The vast expert documentary evidence provided by the applicants, as required by the town and demanded by the neighbors over the past six years, can hardly be regarded as a trickling, but more like an overwhelming deluge of expert information demonstrating the lack of impacts of this proposal. Mr. Schwartz also repeated the same false claim he has made so many times, I've lost count by this point, that the applicants keep "flip flopping on what their proposed hospital really is". Once again, we've responded every time this lie has been repeated, and we've stated from the very outset that our proposed use is a specialty hospital, have never stated anything other than that. You may know that we first met with the town's professional staff to go over this in September 2014, going on seven years, well prior to our July 2015 application, and that was for the very purpose of meeting with the staff and legal staff of the town to confirm that the proposed use is a permitted hospital under the town's zoning code, which is the only definition that we have to comply with, and a

24

1

court has determined that. The fact that we have compared certain potential impacts such as water use, traffic and parking, to those of other uses simply to demonstrate that the impacts of this use will be far less than a general hospital is irrelevant to our constantly stated nature of the use as a specialty hospital. Equally irrelevant is whatever name we choose to give the hospital. Now, Mr. Schwartz is an experienced zoning attorney who often represents big projects before this town and he knows all of this, yet for some reason he chooses to repeat these things over and again, no matter how many times we refute them. Another false statement he makes in his most recent letter is "if they care so much about helping people, why do they say they will not accept Medicaid". Now, like many of the contentions that have been made, that's kind of irrelevant to this Board's consideration, but he knows this repeated claim is false as well. the applicant's initial 2015 submission, its planners inadvertently confused the fact that the applicants have stated that they're not accepting

24

1

patients on public assistance with their acceptance of insurance, and as counsel knows, this error has been corrected on the record more than once, the applicants have consistently stated that they will accept insurance in all forms incu-, including Medicaid. That was last done in the Zoning Board proceedings in writing for example. At the last meeting we mentioned the horrible comments sent to our client by someone saying they are an immediate neighbor, and Mr. Schwartz suggested we misrepresented that incident. Apparently we're engaging in all sorts of fraud before this Board. He called it a "alleged anonymous message". It was neither alleged nor anonymous. We have a copy of the complete message with the name of the sender, but we simply chose not to expose that person publicly. We didn't imply it was one of Mr. Schwartz's clients, we expressly said we didn't know if it was or it wasn't. The person has since deleted their message, but we have determined that they do live in the near vicinity on Spring Valley Road. Finally, with respect to

24

1

misrepresentations, you will note that the neighbors recently requested us, by their expert traffic consultant and otherwise, to perform updated traffic counts in the neighborhood. So as we were doing that this past weekend around the time of your site visit, we observed some of them driving back and forth repeatedly over the counters numerous times to falsify the results, just as they did with our original traffic counts, and they've previously stated publicly on their social media, their intention to do just that, and we included their Facebook entries to that effect in one of our earlier traffic reports. So in short, as you know anyone is free to disagree with the applicants, to have their say to object to the proposed use, they're certainly entitled to their own opinion, but they are not entitled to make up their own facts, which we have had them do time and again. So, finally, if anyone is really grossly lacking in credibility in this proceeding, it's certainly not the applicant. So thank you, and thank you once again for taking the time this evening.

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Davis.

Mike, can we move along?

MR. PREZIOSI: Mr. Davis, I'm going to leave you on as a panelist, but if you don't mind just us muting your speaker.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, I'll probably mute my video too.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay, great. Thank you.

Okay. Our next speaker is going to be

Christopher Labbate. If I've mispronounce

anyone's name, I do apologize in advance.

I'm Laura Labbate. This is my husband Chris
Labbate. We live at 35 Quaker Hill Drive. And
we would just like to cover two things. First of
all, our investment and reason for living here,
and second of all, we'd like to review some of
the commentary about the easement. We purchased
our home about 11 years ago and we made a very
careful investment. We chose a home on a large
piece of property in a quiet remote neighborhood
located in the woods, surrounded by nature and
very far from town. And like our neighbors, we

24

1

paid a premium for this home. This home is on a large lot. Most lots in our neighborhood are between two and four acres, and many are adjacent to the Teatown Reservation. And like our neighbors we pay a premium in taxes of upwards of \$30,000 annually to the Town of Cortlandt. proposed facility known as Hudson Ridge Wellness Center would devalue our investment and degrade the environment and it also threatens the safety of our roads. Having said that, we would like to address the issue of the easement along 81 Quaker It's alarming to hear Mr. Davis speak of that as something that isn't going to happen, because if it wasn't going to happen then why was the easement proposed? Why do you get an allowance for an all-purpose easement if you have no use or reason for it? Why would you devalue the property value of 81 Quaker Hill and in fact lose potential buyers and new potential residents over this large easement if it's never going to be used? There are children, dogs, families, who walk and play in this neighborhood, and if that proposed inlet or outlet would be to allow, you

24

1

know, allow extra traffic to go through our neighborhood, we are a no-outlet neighborhood, there is one way in and there is one way out, and that added traffic, I think poses a real safety threat to our neighborhood, in addition to the noise and the disturbance of traffic, and who is to say that there wouldn't be traffic at all hours of the night. So the other thing that is very bothersome is that it appears to be clear from the public records that the applicants purchased 81 Quaker with the express intent of securing the easement. Nobody's ever occupied the home and like we said, and like our neighbors, Mike and Sam, mentioned in the March 2nd meeting, deals are falling through because of the invasem-, easement. The other thing that is disturbing is the appalling and underhanded way in which the applicants continue to pursue their goals with complete disregard to their potential neighbors in Quaker Hill, in our neighborhood and in the surrounding area, so therefore, we don't trust the assurances being given by the applicants or their attorney that they will

2.3

operate properly with any consideration, not only to the wellbeing of our neighbors, but in according to the rules and regulations set forth by the Town of Cortlandt. We would like to ask the Board to enforce the existing zoning code that requires this type of facility to be on a state road, and we would like to help keep this residential neighborhood residential. As Paul Ruben stated the proposed facility is inconsistent with the present day community character and that is also drastically different from the commercial zoning that was granted in 1948. So thank you for allowing us time.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay, thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: The next speaker is going to be Ms. Suzy Roe. If you could just state your name and address for the record. And I'll promote you to panelist. Hi.

MR. DAVID WEINBERGER: Hello.

MR. PREZIOSI: Who's that?

MR. WEINBERGER: Hi, this is David, hi, this is David Weinberger. I live at 5 Middle

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Lake Road right off of Glendale, and my property borders the Hudson Institute property. I am around the corner, so I'm not on Quaker Ridge but I'm around, so the back part of the -- the back part of my property borders on the Hudson Institute. My mai-, besides agreeing with many of the other speakers, my main concern is water, and my, my well. So just to give you a little bit idea of the topography, my property, if the Hudson Institute is on top of a hill, I'm on the bottom of a hill. Behind where the buildings are, and there, there's a lo-, there's a long hill, pa-, and part of my property is on the hill and part of the property's on Hudson Institute. The -- there are se-, there used to be several streams that used to come down the hill, or they were underground streams and they'd pop up on my property, and we have since put in --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: French drain.

MR. WEINBERGER: -- drains around my septic field so that that runoff water would not go into my septic field. My, my well is right there on the hill also, and my concern is if

24

1

indeed they're going to have 92 beds and 80something employees, the demand for water is going to be huge, and I'm very concerned about how well my, my, my well will, you know, have enough water to supply my house as well as the surrounding houses. Also, I have no -- I've lived here, I bought the property in 1997 and we've lived here, we built our house when we lived here since 1998. I have 3.6 acres, mostly woods with a lot of setbacks for wetlands, steep slopes, etcetera. So my concern is that the --(a), I'm concerned about that, that my runoff is not as much as it used to be. I've noticed over the last probably ten years, I've been here 23 years, that my underground streams are -- they don't flow as much, and I, I attribute this to less rainfall over, over the course of time, and I think probably due to climate change that this will probably continue. I'm also concerned about potential runoff from the Hudson Institute various, either water that comes out of their septic system that is not captured and contaminated water. Now, all my water that comes

1	Page 2 April 22, 2021
2	off that stream, off the hill in and around my,
3	my the
4	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Whatever, the
5	drain.
6	MR. WEINBERGER: the drainage goes
7	right into the watershed and that's drinking
8	water for the town of Ossining. So I'm concerned
9	about the, the contamination of that water from
10	the Hudson Institute, the quality of the, the
11	water that's coming in, and also potentially the,
12	the lack of drinkable and usable water. That's
13	my main concern. I'm also concerned about the
14	noise. This is, you know, as you know, this is a
15	very, very quiet neighborhood, and I'm concerned
16	about
17	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Lighting.
18	Lighting.
19	MR. WEINBERGER: the noise and
20	lighting that will influence my very beautiful
21	
	and peaceful property. So
22	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: And the property
23	value.
24	MR. WEINBERGER: And my value, 'cause

2.3

I've been here 23 years. I'm going to re- retire probably soon. I'll probably move in a relatively near future and I'm concerned about my property value. So thank you for listening to me. That's it.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. WEINBERGER: Okay.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay, thank you. Our next speaker is going to be Lauren Fogelman.

Just state your name and address for the record.

MS. LAUREN FOGELMAN: Hi there. My name is Lauren Phillips Fogelman and I live at 7
Quaker Hill Drive in Croton-on-Hudson. And tonight I'm speaking to you as a resident of the Teatown area and also full disclosure as a leader of one of the Town of Cortlandt's faith communities. I am a cantor, and as a member of the clergy I work regularly with people suffering from and receiving all sorts of health related issues including addiction, and a dear mentor of mine from my college days recently passed from causes related to alcoholism and addiction. The struggle is real, I recognize that, and my heart

24

1

goes out to those dealing with such issues as well as to their family and friends, but the heart of my concerns about the proposed facility have to do with the ability for the narrow roads in this area to handle this extra traffic, the easement between the proposed facility on 81 Ouaker Hill Drive, and the trustworthiness of the applicant to respect the overall character of this residential neighborhood. I fear that this proposed hospital could provide damaging and dangerous consequences for the families who live here as well as to the local environment, so much so that we are now at bedtime for my two young children, this is the third hearing that we've been at, at, at bedtime, we know there's going to be here, there's going to be another one now May 4th, and we are determined to do whatever we can to be at these meetings despite the challenges they are to our family at this moment. So just in the past week alone I encountered two challenging instances in which large trucks struggled to navigate the windy one lane roads in the area, and I fear that the proposed facility

24

1

will necessitate many more of these trucks driving through the neighborhood, and it will only be a matter of time before an accident happens. I believe there are plans to potentially widen Quaker Ridge Road at points but why would we do so to accommodate a facility whose scope and scale do not belong in a residential neighborhood like this one in the first place. I am also gravely concerned about the easement granted, quote, unquote, for any and all purposes between the proposed facility and 81 Quaker Hill Drive. Now, I know Mr. Davis inferred that that easement is not going to be used, but why would it be there if it's not going to be used? And when we bought our house we imagined our kids learning to ride bikes along the quiet cul-de-sac, and adding this additional entry point to the proposed Wellness Center means additional traffic on our streets. And we're not just talking about passenger cars. We're talking about delivery trucks, waste removal, emergency vehicles and other large entities on a 24-hour basis. And in addition, as has been stated by

24

1

some others, the house has now been put on and taken off the market at least twice over the course of the past few months, prospective buyers have pulled out after the house has been reported to gone into contract ostensibly because of this easement. This suggests that some of the terms and the existence of the easement are not being disclosed to brokers and potential buyers and implies that the plans for the easement are something that would grossly affect the value of the property at 81 Quaker Hill Drive, and also potentially the other properties on the street and our property taxes. If the Town of Cortlandt is looking to fill the void left by Indian Point by gaining tax revenue from a for-profit venture, there are potential losses as well, not to mention you are disrupting the lives of several dozen 100 maybe citizens of our town, and this is for the sake of purported promises by an entity who has no prior track record in operating such a facility and several convictions for fraud and tax violations, and though the plans for the easement and potential road are not part of the

1

2 official proposal that has been preve-, presented, the terminology of any and all uses 3 for the easement is deeply troubling to my family 4 5 and to many others who live on our street, which brings me to my final concern. Do we know the 6 7 applicant's intentions for the property, 8 property? He can go on and on saying that he 9 will mitigate our traffic concerns by offering a 10 shuttle service for employees, he will limit the 11 number of patient visitors, he will use the 12 existing structures for his facility, but this is 13 just his word. How do we hold him to the fact 14 that he does not believe that the center will 15 have a significant impact on our neighborhood? 16 The applicant's attorney states the following 17 with regards to the fact that, that that 18 property, the Hudson Wellness property, is still 19 for sale, such listing is merely in the ordinary 20 course of business of many, if not most, business 21 property owners whose properties are always for 22 sale, but only at the right significantly 2.3 motivating price. That may be very well and 24 true, but this is a residential neighborhood, not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

a business district, and it needs to remain as The crux of the applicant and his such. attorney's arguments have been with regards to business operations with no consideration for the dozens of families whose lives and safety will be significantly impacted by the presence of a 24hour commercial facility in their neighborhood. And we have actually, instead of getting recognized by him, we've gotten insulted by him and I really do not appreciate that. So -- and the prospect of having this entryway on our culde-sac fuels my concern about the facility even more, and that in itself will dramatically change the character of our beautiful neighborhood. Thank you for your time.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Our next speaker is going to be Lois Goldsmith. Just state your name and address for the record.

MS. LOIS GOLDSMITH: Good evening. My name is Lois Goldsmith. I live at 78 Quaker Hill Drive. I've lived here for 36 years, and those of, of the panel who came to inspect the site on

2.3

Sunday visited my property and see -- and got to see just how close our property is to the Hudson Institute property. I just want to make one quick point 'cause you've heard a lot of, a lot of things before, but like our neighbor, Joel Greenstein, our property was also affected by the water test that was done. Other neighbors could have been affected by it, but there wasn't -- the testing wasn't broad enough to determine just how much that test is going to affect our water. So I ask, respectfully ask that the Board consider this when they make their deliberations and decisions about approving this facility. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. I'm going to promote Mr. Brad Schwartz as a, as a speaker, and he's going to introduce two or three speakers in regards to the community group.

MR. BRAD SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Michael, and good eve-, good evening, everyone. Michael, if you could please promote Steven Preneris [phonetic], architect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. PREZIOSI: Mr. Schwartz, if it's okay with you I'll, I'll promote the two or three speakers that you are represe-, are representing and then go in order.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Sure.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Mr. Preneris, the floor is yours.

MR. STEVEN PRENERIS: Okay, wonderful. Can you hear me? Well, first of all, thank you very much, and -- let me just first say to all of you, I thank you very much for the time and service that you give to the community to do this. I, as an architect, sit in front of boards a lot, and, and you're the recipients of a lot of, a lot of dialogue going back and forth, and it is really appreciated what you do. What I hope to do is just clarify very quickly some of the points that, that I started with the last time and hopefully to make it a little bit more, a little bit clear. Am I able to share the, share the screen? Okay, here. And I think one of the things I want to say is that what I'd like to ho- hopefully do is make things a little bit

24

1

easier, because I re-, I recognize that, that the process of -- that you're, that you're going through is a very difficult process, there's a lot of elements that are part of it, so what I've tried to do is just put things into some simple graphic forms, and hopefully offer you a, a path that, that, that can move it forward without ranting and sort of an argumentative sense and give, give the -- if the building matches -- if the proposed building matches what they want to do, a lot of questions begin to fall, begin to fall by the wayside. If we knew how many beds it's going to be we can really and adequately say, okay, what is going to be the impact from automobiles, water, things like that. So I think that's the importance of understanding the match between the, the site and, and the piece. try to share that. Are you able to see that? So what, what I've done to make things simple, it's kind of very similar process that I tend to do with, with, with my clients when we do start our projects, and I will say this, that oftentimes, you know, I know how the process works, and

24

1

oftentimes when you start the process you, you start out with just a few questions, and usually you get to meet the architect a little bit further down the line, and I start raising questions and saying, guys, you know, what we thought about some of these things. So what I've done is provided five sheets analyzing each of the, the comps that were given by, by the applicant to, to explore them. And the first is the, the actual site, which is there and the, the main site, the 20.8 hectare acre site, that little buffer zone to the, to the side, and as I brought up in the, in the previous piece that it's a ratio of about 419 square, square foot per pa-, per patient beds, a much smaller metric than you find in, in, in facilities of this type and within healthcare in general. So what we did is I went and, and began to lay those down to -next to some of the comparables so you can very easily compare and see some of the data pulling all these together. High Watch is a facility in Kent, Connecticut, and it is a -- on 200 acres, a 79,000 square foot building, 55 beds. It shows a

24

1

bed ratio of 1,447 beds per, per, per square feet It's located about .7 miles from a per bed. fairly good, good sized road, and it is a large, large, large facility in a very rural area primarily surrounded by conservation easement, and you could see in terms of comparing the, the Hudson Ridge site to this site significantly, a significantly more buffer around the site. terms of a, a comparison, it's not really comparable site because the building itself at High Ridge is double the amount of building for less beds, so the ratios are, are quite a bit off when you look at it. Again, 1,400 versus the 4,019, and these are the real, the, the real metrics that we work with within the field. we turn to the Caron Facility cited by the applicants in, in Connecticut -- in Pennsylvania, excellent facility, model facility for, for this type of, this type of situation. Why is it a model facility? Wonderful access to principal roads surrounding the site, so there's good on good off getting people onto the site, excellent access to that, it's in a district or area that

24

1

has a lot of civic, commercial and, civic, commercial and institutional buildings around it, so they have an infrastructure and actually the town itself promotes the fact that they have wonderful sanitariums, resorts or wellness within the area, but again, we find it not compatible. A seventh of the size in terms of the building, 270,000 square feet versus 38,000 square feet in the existing building. 92 beds versus 90 beds. And significantly more square foot per, per bed per patient. So again, it's, from an architect's point of view we're looking at this, and a lot of questions begin to say, how are we going to get that level of facility to work, and could you get the 92 beds in this market looking at others to, to function. Looking at the Father Martin's Facility, another one, another one referenced by the client. Again, an excellent facility, really the only deficits of this facility that, if I were advising a client that I found, is that the road is about two, a little over two miles from a large collector road. However, the site itself is surrounded by a very, very rural area, there's

1

2 not a lot of residences around, it's, it's significantly remote, the site. Yet again, you 3 find 1022-, 122,000 square feet of building 4 5 versus a 30,000 square foot building in, in the proposed site. 85 beds, so less beds, 6 7 significantly more building. These numbers -this is what, how I'd mentioned it the last time, 8 9 these numbers kind of popping out at you. I'm 10 starting my pilot's license right now, this is a 11 little bit like some- someone's proposing to you 12 that we're going to do a little airport for a 13 Cessna, and they come back with photographs of a 14 727. The, the Hudson Ridge site to the right and 15 the Father Martin's to the left. Looking at 16 Silver Hill, another excellent example, New 17 Canaan, Connecticut. It is a 102,000 square foot 18 building versus the, the 38,000 square foot 19 proposed building. The difficulties with the, 20 the Silver Hill site, every site has some 21 difficulties, some of them not so much, but the 22 Silver Hill site is the land use. It's in very 2.3 much a residential neighborhood, and you might 24 say hey, that's very much like what we have here

24

1

in, in Hudson Ridge surrounded by residential neighborhood. However, this residential neighborhood, the building itself has been in continual use since 1931, so all of the residents around this building were well aware of, of what was there for quite some time. It's not a new or, a new use within, within that area. roads, it's also significantly closer to a large collector road in terms of handling traffic and such, but still it's one third the bed ratio size, so again, somewhat similar in terms of bed size, significantly less in terms of, in terms of And then lastly, I just put again, the Betty Ford Clinic on for -- as I did the last Betty Ford was an excellent example of a wonderful state -- real, real state of the art facility in this area, a real leader in, in this community. Hits all the marks in terms of bed to patient ratio, number of square meters of building, road access is excellent in terms of being on some collector roads, the, the -- it is a an urban site, but looking at the land use map, there's a lot of retail mixed use, a lot of

1	April 22, 2021
2	commercial use right around the site. Again,
3	don't find this to be a comparable, a comparable
4	benchmark because of all the variances between,
5	between the differences on the site. So I've
6	forwarded my letter to you. I know there are a
7	lot of people speaking tonight. I don't want to
8	step on them, and again, I thank you very much
9	for your time. Any questions?
10	MR. KESSLER: I do. A question. So
11	when you compare all these other sites, you're
12	counting the square footage of every building?
13	MR. PRESIERI: Yes.
14	MR. KESSLER: So if a site had a
15	gymnasium and an auditorium, you're counting that
16	as the total square footage and dividing it by
17	the number of patients?
18	MR. PRESIERI: Right. When you work
19	when we work on
20	MR. KESSLER: Work is, is that fair?
21	MR. PRESIERI: Yes.
22	MR. KESSLER: That's fair?
23	MR. PRESIERI: Yeah. That's why it
24	isn't an exact number. That's why you'll find

2 numbers --

2.3

MR. KESSLER: No, I mean if, if, you know, if, if, if one of these, you know, Betty

Ford had a dinner theater in there, you think

it's fair to count that in that ratio and make

comparisons to, to a site that doesn't have that?

MR. PRESIERI: It's, it is a comparison we use consistently --

MR. KESSLER: I understand you use it.

I'm saying is it fair?

MR. PRESIERI: Yes.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. Fine.

MR. PRESIERI: And, and honestly, it's bec-, and, and that's why I wouldn't come at you and say it must be x to x to work.

MR. KESSLER: No, but, you know, not, you know, look, I, I deal with numbers my whole life as an actuary. You know, if you're making a comparison, you -- I would think the comparison would be what is dedicated to patient care in each of these institutions and do that ratio.

That's how I would look at it. I, I think you're being a little disingenuous and I'm not arguing

2.3

2 for the applicant, please don't --

MR. PRESIERI: No, no, it's okay.

MR. KESSLER: I'm playing devil's advocate here and saying that, you know, you can't count a gymnasium or a cafeteria or a dinner theater or whatever else, you know, Betty Ford or somebody else may have for these upper end people, and, and say that is comparable in terms — that you should count that in terms of comparable square footage. I just — I'm sorry, I don't accept that.

MR. PRESIERI: That's alright. I, I, I appreciate that, and, and that's one of the challenges we faced -- we face in the work, but it is, it is a, it is a comparable metric that we use throughout the industry, [unintelligible] [00:46:53] --

MR. KESSLER: But having said that, and as I said before, whether it's 100 or 400 square feet, that is not something this Board decides on. That's something that the regulatory authority's going to decide on.

MR. PRESIERI: 100 percent.

2.3

MR. KESSLER: So it's a nice academic discussion to have with you, but ultimately it's not my call.

MR. PRESIERI: No, understood. Where it does have an impact is that these metrics do impact the staffing numbers, they do impact the water usage and those types of areas, so you're not, you are not approving them. So -- and, and by the way, the --

MR. KESSLER: But you did, but, but, but, but, but, but, but by the way, so can you bring that up, you did, you did show the staffing ratio, you had other places that had a higher staffing ratio, and I think some had a lower staffing, staffing ratio I believe?

MR. PRESIERI: Right, right, right. So the -- I mean the staffing ratios range, range, range according to the services within the clinic, within, within the space. And, and really the thing is, and I think this is where, where I would say again, as an, as a word of advice is, if there was, if there was more precise planning, you'd be able to go and

2.3

eliminate a lot of these questions, and I think that that might be something that in this situation might help the community if they were to just do a little bit more planning and come back and say, okay, this is how we're going to make it work and, and drill down to these, to these pieces in terms of doing a, you know, there's existing buildings, a schematic, quick schematic on, on, on how this is going to be utilized could, could potentially answer a lot of these questions. But I appreciate your question. Thank you.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you for your presentation.

MS. KAREN WELLS: I think I'm up next.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay.

MS. WELLS: Hi, I'm Karen Wells, 28

Applebee Farm Road, Croton-on-Hudson. Before I
get into my official remarks as a CRHISD member,
I want to tell you about Sam. Sam, as a young
man, started using pot and drinking and that led
to encounters with the police, which led to Sam
and I going up to Massachusetts to help him do

24

1

his community service. All of this, you know, still as he was a young teenager. We talked a lot about how he could deal with things in his life in a way other than using substances that at the time -- well, even now, would be illegal for him, both substances, and inappropriate for a young teenager. We thought Sam was headed in the right direction. Unfortunately a family tragedy meant that Sam didn't continue in the right direction. In fact, his addictions escalated. He started using heroin, and those of us who worked with him, tried very hard to find proper care. Unfortunately, even after going through rehab, Sam didn't make it. I tell this story as opposed to other families' stories within my family, like I'm sure everyone who is on this call has them, friends, family, etcetera. wanted to tell you about Sam, because April 29th we once again gather, most of it will be virtual this year, to remember his death, and -- but one thing that I did take away from trying to work with Sam and help him get through this process is I learned an enormous amount about drug rehab,

24

1

and unfortunately there are a large number of programs that are interested in taking advantage of those of us seeking to help those we love. And I want you to know this, you know, within the CRHISD group, we talk about those who we love who've been impacted, ex-husbands, children, friends, other family members. So the fact that we're opposed to this proposal does not mean we do not know the pain of addiction, and I -- many of you have known me and heard me speak at public hearings over the decades, I typically do not share personal stories, I stick to the items that matter from your Planning Board decision making process, but quite frankly I am very tired and disappointed in people assuming that because we oppose this proposal that we somehow have been miraculously blessed to avoid the pain of addiction. So as you hear my comments please know I understand, and I want my friends, my families who suffer from addiction to be able to find services, but I want them to find the right services, and I want them to be provided by people we can trust to deliver what they say

24

1

they're going to do. So now, Brad, if you wouldn't mind moving on to the presentation. first thing I'm going to do, cover a couple of things that are follow up items to the Planning Board's visit. The importance of the Planning Board visit I think cannot be underestimated, and I know for many of you this is not a corner of the world you come to often, but I will tell you it is a corner of the world that many people, not just Croton residents, not just Cortlandt residents, come to enjoy, and one of the things people come to enjoy are the quiet roads, and the quiet roads are helpful because people can bike, they can run, they can walk, in fact, the board members who were at the site visit, you may recall, we stood in the middle of the road for a good five minutes and had a conversation. can't tell you the number of times I have stopped on the road to talk to friends, maybe we're passing, maybe, maybe someone's in their yard, I'm in my car, but the roads are quiet, and that's a very important characteristic of this community, not only for those who live here, but

24

1

for those who enjoy it from a recreational perspective. You also probably noticed the noise level or the lack of noise. It is a quiet area filled more with the noise of nature than anything else. In fact, the predominant noise at my house is the falls from the Croton Dam. a lighting perspective, you may not have noticed because it was a sunny day, but we don't have a single street light. That means when it gets dark here it is complete dark, and that means commercial lighting will significantly change the feeling of this community at night. The other part, and perhaps you -- in, in fact, I, I would guess that the sentiment right now is that we're not a friendly community. In fact, we are an extremely friendly community, we are extremely generous, we are extremely helpful to each other, and we are very involved. When I say involved, I don't just mean in this type of process. I mean in helping each other, in protecting this community, and protecting this environment. chatter on our e-mail list today was about Earth Day, in celebrating our stay in our community,

24

1

and protecting our community by going up and cleaning up these lovely roads. But again, our fundamental characteristic is we are a friendly community. When something happens -- we had a resident recently go missing, people went out and looked, people dropped what they were doing, they went and they looked. When Mother's Day came along last year during the pandemic, people went to their gardens and gathered flowers for the Croton Food Bank so mothers who might not be able to have flowers on Mother's Day would. It's also a community when I had family issues, friends dropped everything, took care of my dogs, my house, made sure there was food. And what I find particularly interesting is Kevin Cassidy, related to the LLC who owns 81 Quaker Hill Drive, they describe their area as a quiet cul-de-sac, provides endless opportunity to enjoy the country life. That is really hopefully what you took away from your site visit. I do know there were some particular questions that came up, and I would like to address those specific questions on the next slide. When we were visiting Michael

24

1

Shannon's house there was quite a bit of chatter about the applicant's claim that Michael Shannon had cleared his land creating a hardship on himself to see the facility. If you notice on the left hand side there is the pre-clearing, and the brush is below the fence line, the trees that are alive are still standing. They did not, they did not take those out, but they did clear the invasive vines that again are below the tree line. So to claim that he increased his disability by clearing is just false. addition, in Bob Davis's letter, a previous letter, he said that 83 Quaker Hill Drive did the same thing. In fact, this is Jill and Joel's house where you went to visit and many of you commented on the number of trees she had planted and that they did provide a buffer. But what I find very interesting about all of these discussions about what the neighbors are doing related to their tree coverage, is I have not known in the history of Cortlandt Planning Board meetings, of you ever requiring the neighbor to provide the screening to a commercial

24

1

development. The responsibility has been consistently the responsibility of the commercial enterprise to provide adequate screening, and I think that is something that has not been addressed properly in this process. The other issue that came up during the site visit was the discussion about the facility being there, these people should have known it was going to be developed. And I will tell you every one of the adjoining neighbors I talked to, they acknowledge that this was going to be developed, but their understanding, and many times this understanding came directly from the Town of Cortlandt, was that it would be developed as residences, not as a commercial enterprise. In fact, Jill Greenstein very much remembers having a discussion with Barbara Miller and asking what is going to happen to this property, and Barbara Miller assuring her that it was residential. yes, they did expect it to be developed, but they expected there to be neighbors, people to get to know, people to become part of this active, involved and friendly community. Now, I realize

1	April 22, 2021
2	the comparison to other facilities is somewhat
3	aggravating to certain members, but I do think
4	it's important to go to, on the next slide, an
5	understanding of why that matters from the SEQR
6	process.
7	MR. KESSLER: Just for the record, I
8	would not characterize it as aggravating.
9	MS. WELLS: Okay. Can you
10	MR. KESSLER: If you're refer-, if
11	you're referring to me, I would not say
12	aggravating.
13	MS. WELLS: How, how just, just so I
14	better understand, how do you feel about the
15	comparison?
16	MR. KESSLER: Why don't you just leave
17	me out of the discussion?
18	MS. WELLS: Okay. Happy to do that.
19	MR. KESSLER: Thank you.
20	MS. WELLS: Okay. So moving on to the
21	comparison to other facilities. One, we found we
22	needed to do this because of the lack of
23	operational information being provided by the

applicant. So ultimately we needed to go, go to

24

2.3

the -- sorry. We seem to have lost Mr. Kessler.

Should we --

MR. KESSLER: You have not lost me. I went to get a glass of water. You have not lost me.

MS. WELLS: Oh, so should I wait?

MR. KESSLER: No, no, no, I can still hear you, I can hear you, you're, you're perfectly -- your, your clarity is, is good. I have no problem listening to you.

MS. WELLS: Good to hear. Okay. So we first started looking at comparative facilities, starting with the ones the applicant gave us, to better understand operationally what we were talking about, because we, we felt then and we still feel we do not have adequate operational information. And to get to the question of why these things matter, in the care setting, and again, I speak from having gone through sorting through programs and things that do matter, and I can tell you, every one of these places will tell you exercise matters, the ability of people who are fighting addiction to be able to transfer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

some of that energy and to drain some of that energy from their physical body is going to be through exercise to better manage themselves in their lives, they need to find a way to incorporate exercise. And getting to your point about the, the cooking facilities. Yes, that matters, and it matters what the cooking facilities are like, because most addicts suffer from extreme nutritional difficulties, and part of these programs, their focus is on teaching people with addictions how to eat properly, how to nourish their bodies, and how to cook for themselves, take care of themselves. Now, these things are important when we're looking at comparative facilities because these types of things require services, and being on a four-lane road, such as the Betty Ford Clinic is on, food delivery, fresh food on a constant basis. the applicant has told us one food delivery per Based on our discussions with restaurant week. owners who serve at least 300 meals a day, and by the way, that is probably a minimum number, because that is just the patients, not even

24

1

taking into consideration the staff members or the visitors. These -- they are telling us that one delivery a week is simply not doable. We ask that you as the Planning Board find a way for them to show us how this can be done. Now, from a noise and lighting perspective, you saw that the Betty Ford Clinic is in a very urban setting. That is also important because it is a 24-hour a day facility. And again, operationally, we needed to go these other facilities to understand what we're talking about operationally. And even though lights out for patients is at 10:00 or 10:30, that does not mean it's lights out for the facility. Lights in the facility are on, on a constant basis, and that is for, that is for safety, it is for the coming and going of staff. From a community perspective, you'll also notice on this map, the Betty Ford Clinic is outlined in green, across the way as a medical center. we are not asking for this to be put in the MOD, but it is interesting that this is essentially in an MOD. Now, moving to the next slide, looking at how Silver Hill sits, and I think one of the

24

1

very interesting things, although it is a, it is a more of a suburban facility, one of the things, if you notice, in green, is a water treatment facility, so again, this is something where the facility is next to a large municipal service, and this matters because again, we're not looking necessarily for a facility to be in a different part of town. There are options quite nearby that are near by municipal facilities that would be by right a place where this type of facility could be built. Now, one thing, again, digging into the operational realities of the facilities the client has given us to look at, we talked to Silver Hills -- well, not Silver Hills, we actually talked to the New Canaan Volunteer Ambulance Corps to ask about emergency visits, because again, we were getting no information from the applicant. In 2019, yes, we did skip 2020 for, for clear reasons, who knows what's going on with COVID, there were 400 ambulance visits, some of them multiple times a day, and for a community like ours, I, I, I can't tell you if we have four ambulance visits a year, and --

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Are those -- sorry. Are those visits 400, 400 visits or 400 calls? They made 400 trips?

MS. WELLS: 400 dispatches. I asked the same question actually when we first got the data.

MR. PREZIOSI: And was that sirens on or sirens off or what?

MS. WELLS: Well, it depends on the community, so in my --

MR. PREZIOSI: Right, I'm talking about this in particular. Is that sirens on 400 times, or no?

MS. WELLS: I did not ask the question, but I can have someone follow up. Now, one question we would like answered, because in some communities it is mandatory that when the ambulance comes, the fire department also has to go with them. I do not know what the status is for this ty-, for the facility, the Hudson Wellness facility, and again, this is yet one more operational issue that's, that's just coming up now, and we, you know, we are the ones having

24

1

to provide the details on it, and we shouldn't have to be the ones digging through this. Now, the other interesting thing about Silver Hill is that because it's been operational since 1931, looking at the code, it would not be allowed to operate as this type of facility if they discontinued use. And I think that's a very important distinction because the applicant keeps going back to a very historical, distant use, and we can argue all we want about whether that use is actually applicable, but no one can argue with the fact that it has not been in use as a medical facility for decades. Now, on the next slide -oh wait, actually, before we go, I do want to point out one other thing on this slide. Again, coming back to that buffer question. If you look -- thank you -- if you look right there, you will see an enormous vegetative buffer. Again, this is what we would typically see required of a commercial development, that there be buffers provided by the enterprise, not the burden falling on to the applicant. Now, moving on to the, the next slide, I want to look at one other

24

1

facility, very rural, and here, it, it's a little hard to see actually, but on the right hand side, you'll see the facilities, again, large recreational facilities, those are half courts. Again, this -- every single one of these facilities had enormous athletic opti-, recreational options. One facility actually has an equine therapy as well, but every single one of them has a way to incorporate, incorporate into the care program exercise, and again, yes, as you know, you can have a gym that is substantial or you can have a gym that is adequate, but at this point all we have from the applicant is that they are going to exercise outside with a personal trainer without amplified music, I think was the language, but what we're seeing is that there needs to be a, a stronger way for patients to get consistent exercise, and yes, I know you're not in the business of saying what a facility should and shouldn't have, but we need better answers about where the exercise is going to take place and realistically how the exercise is going to take place.

2.3

MR. SCHWARTZ: Could I just jump in here for a second? I mean just to point out, these are gazebos, there are multiple gazebos throughout the campus with the walking trails, and back in here is where Karen mentioned the two half court basketball courts. So the Board could al- also go to Google and go to each of these sites and play around, all these pictures are available through simple Google searches.

MR. KESSLER: But, Brad, I would imagine that if there were basketball courts, we would be hearing about complaints about, you know, bouncing balls from the neighbors. Wouldn't you, wouldn't you -- that make an issue as to why they're putting in a basketball court?

MS. WELLS: Well, I'll, I'll actually -I want to address that in two ways, 'cause I had
the same thought. In this facility, one of the
things that help- helps is the closest neighbor
is about five football fields further away than
what you're seeing at the Hudson Institute, and
now, I'm not saying they can't have a basketball
court, but if they're going to have a basketball

2.3

court that's something we need to know about, and

MR. KIMMERLING: If they were going to have a basketball court it would be on the site plan, right?

MS. WELLS: No, what we're saying is from an operational perspective, from an operational detailed perspective, we are seeing consistently that there are high levels of recreational exercise facilities, in, in my, certainly my understanding is from my experience of going through the process is that that is crucial, so that individuals can learn to better take care of their bodies, and it is proven that adequate, consistent exercise is one of the key factors for maintaining mental health, and we just want to know in their plan, where is it going to occur?

MR. KIMMERLING: And so just, just a question maybe for, I don't know if it's for Josh, on our side, when, when we, when we, say, do a site approval for something like Hudson Valley Hospital, we don't say, well, you can't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

really be a hospital unless you have an ambulance bay and you can't really be a hospital unless you have an emergency room. There must, there must be another agency, right, that looks at these facilities and says, okay, you, you do not have enough things, right, whether it's exercise or sufficient cafeterias or all the other amenities, right, that go into a facility like this, in order to be licensed and operate as a hospital, right, but with the Planning Board, we don't license hospitals and healthcare facilities, so I have no idea if these facilities need a basketball court or a tennis court or a, a dinner theater, if that's what Betty Ford has. I, I --MR. SUBIN: So I've looked over a lot --

I'm sorry, George.

MR. KIMMERLING: So -- no, I just, so I mean I appreciate the comparison because I understand what you're saying is that like if they're going to be a high end facility, this is what high end facilities typically have. that. But I don't think we're in the position of saying you can't be what you say you're going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

be unless you have these things, but anyway,

Josh, I don't know if you could shed some light

on that.

MR. SUBIN: I've kind of gone through OASAS regul- regulations when this was before the I didn't say anything about basketball courts on those things. I did -- I know there was a lot of presentation between the applicant as regards to what is a hospital, what isn't a hospital, we got deeply into detox, we got -talked about the levels with co-morbidity, the applicant made a showing that detox would be occurring at these things. That -- those are the types of things that happened before the ZBA and what -- OASAS regulations, to my understanding, I have seen. I haven't seen anything on, on basketball courts or recreation to that effect, so I, I would, I would imagine that you're right, if it's on the site plan, it's on the site plan. If it's not on the site plan, if not in the site But OASAS does require certain things in regards to detox and all of these other things, and they are another jurisdiction, they're

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

April 22, 2021

another entity, an agency.

MR. KIMMERLING: They're, they're an approving, they're an approving party to all.

MR. SUBIN: They're licensing, licensing. Not approving.

MR. KIMMERLING: Not approving.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Oh, correct, there's, there's agency, right, so agencies having jurisdiction which has to provide permits. I think the, the point may be that the, the residents are trying to make with this, and I think it's a good point that we should also reiterate that the applicant should show all proposed site amenities in order to fully vet the environmental impacts of the site, so if there is going to be walking trails, basketball courts, or outdoor amenities, that may impact how much impervious area is being added to the site, that may impact disturbances to steep slopes or tree removal, and that all needs to the shown now, so that the board can fully evaluate any environmental impacts.

MR. SUBIN: Correct, yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KESSLER: And then the applicant can then come back with [unintelligible] [01:16:54] plans.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. And, and we've also talked about getting a good landscaping plan from them, which, which we don't have yet either, but it, it just feels to me like, you know, what you're describing is a place that, if you're correct, is not going to be very successful in the market, and that's going to be their problem, and perhaps the neighbors would be happy because it'll, it'll fail in two or three years, but, but I, I, I think a lot of this is, you know, going through business decisions that they're going to have to make, they can't do anything beyond what we approve, and that will be based on what they say they're going to do at this point. If they want to do anything else they'd have to come back to us.

MS. WELLS: Well, in, in --

MR. SCHWARTZ: This is also, this is -if I can interject, this is also a key reason why
we have been saying over and over, that the

2.3

applicant should be required to at least have some initial discussions with OASAS, so that OASAS can weigh in on what elements of, of this proposal will OASAS require, and so once OASAS gives some preliminary feedback on whether the concept that the applicant has put on the table is real and viable, then at least the Planning Board knows that is the site plan you're evaluating.

MR. KESSLER: We know what we're evaluating. We're evaluating -- they're, they're telling us how many -- what's the size of the staff, how many people they're going to have there, that's going to be the maximum, and we do this with all kinds of approvals, in, in which we say, you know, you've, you've -- certainly with the hospital, we've done that over and over, that we're approving this based on the contingency that you get approval from the proper authorities.

MS. TAYLOR: Exactly.

MR. KESSLER: And, and so, you know, we're just going to approve or, or not approve,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

based on their proposal, as a maximum of what they say they're going to have in terms of the people and all of that. I, I, I feel like you guys are describing, I really do feel like you're describing a business that's going to fail if you're correct.

MS. WELLS: No, and I, I would, I would actually like to combine your thoughts on this potentially being a business that fails along with Mr. Kimmerling's view that, okay, so if they can't do these things it's not a high end facility. If we look at this and say, okay, based on what they are des-, what they are going to use, building-wise, what they are limiting themselves to, use of land itself for recreational, etcetera, then we may be looking at an entirely different type of facility, and in which case the environmental impacts on a very different type of facility are different and thus we need to know what we're looking at. That, that has been our fundamental point that --

MR. KIMMERLING: We know what we're looking at.

1

24

MR. KESSLER: No, no, but, Ms. Wells, There is an application in front of us and that's what we -- and with all the information that we have. If they change the facility at some point in the future, they just can't do They've got to come back, go through the whole process again, for us to evaluate a site plan revision, and its thumbs up or thumbs down at that point. What we're voting on -- or not voting, but, but reviewing this application, and, and, and we are not here to decide whether it is a viable or not viable business, just like if somebody wants to put in a vape shot -- or a vape shop or a tattoo parlor in a building, that's not for us to decide, morally or whatever, whether it's right or wrong or whether we think it's

MS. WELLS: And I entirely understand you are not here to make economic decisions, so let me put a specific request out there because I think it can solve part of everyone's problem, and that is simply for the applicant, in addition to providing the detailed landscaping plan with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

any outdoor recreational amenities, that they provide a detailed interior plan, that -- we not only need this from understanding where they are actually going to put the 92 patients, but so that we can understand the light impact, the noise impact, etcetera, from where specific people are going to be at different times of the day, and how many people may be, for example, on the fourth floor of the building, next to, that is right next to Jill's house, is that a, is that building that's going to be housing, you know, 50 people or 25 people that are going to be getting up at 9:00 -- getting up at 6:00 a.m. and all walking out at the same time? Again, if we get a detailed floor plan, many of these questions that the community has been asking, and that we believe the Planning Board should be asking can be addressed. So --

MR. KIMMERLING: There are noise regulations as well and, you know, you're making this assumption that if everybody's waking up at 6:00 in the morning on the fourth floor, some house is going to hear it. They're not going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

hear it. If, if it's, if it's above the noise regulations they're not going to be allowed to do it.

MR. SCHWARTZ: And should the people on the fourth floor care about when the neighbors wake up?

MS. TAYLOR: Can, you know, may I just say this at this point? I think we need to sort of move this along. There are other people who want to be heard, and I think we've pretty much gotten the point that Ms. Wells wants to make. I, I just don't know that we can get much more out of this particular, you know, avenue. Let's, let's move this along, so if there are other people who want to say something they can, because this meeting -- this hearing will end at 10:00, and I don't know how many other people are there, maybe Mike Preziosi has some idea, but I think we've pretty much gotten a lot of what Ms. Wells wants us to get by, you know, or through her presentation.

MS. WELLS: If you don't mind, I'd like to just take an extra 60 seconds and finish on up

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

on my last slide, please. As you can see, a specific request we have, and we feel it is a very reasonable request, floor plans and daily operational details. In addition, I'd like to point out the environmental impacts we are still discovering, the ambulance dispatches, we haven't even talked about the kitchen facilities and the feeding of at least 300 people a day, we have outstanding questions on lighting and road width, and on noise as well, but the other factor that I think is important for you as a board to understand are some of the realities of this industry, which sadly, I have personal experience with, so we give you a couple of references, so in addition to Googling what real plans look like, there you can see the documents in the appendix, I also encourage you to look into these Thank you for your time. stories.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Ms., can I just ask a follow up, Ms. Wells?

MS. WELLS: Sure.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Thanks. I'm sorry, I know we, we all had our masks on, on Sunday.

Were you, were you at the site visit with us?

MS. WELLS: I was, I was.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. Okay, great.

Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. SCHWARTZ: And the only thing other thing I would add to this conversation very briefly, it goes back to the sanitation issue as well, because by showing what the other comparable facilities have, the other high end facilities, the writing's on the wall right from the outset, and this is what they're going to be coming back to the board with if they're not proposing it right up front, so the concept of approving a plan now, going to OASAS, and then coming back and asking for an amendment, that's quintessential segmentation by taking one project and breaking into two, so our position is for the board to complete your SEQR process you need to have everything on the table right --

MR. KESSLER: But, Brad, how is that breaking into two, to two? Everybody comes back with ascended -- amended site plans. I mean, Hudson Valley Hospital is the quintessential

2.3

example of that, where they've come back a half a dozen times.

MR. SCHWARTZ: They come back later, Mr. Kessler, when needs, unforeseen needs arise and they want to genuinely expand their operation, not like here, where again, the writing's on the wall at the beginning of what is needed to properly operate one of these facilities, yet, they're not putting everything on the table.

MR. KESSLER: But that's, but that's another process then, Brad, it's not an automatic approval just because they wanted something.

MR. SCHWARTZ: But then what they're asking for is essentially two neg dex [phonetic] right up front, and then during the, during the amended site plan as opposed to doing a full environmental impact statement by the --

MR. KESSLER: But it depends what the amendment is, is it -- doesn't it? It depends on the extent of the amendment. You know, if somebody wants to put in a, a 30-square foot storage shed, I mean, that's not segmentation.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Right, but if that 30-

2.3

square foot storage shed was all the recreational facilities and more square footage than we think is necessary to operate this facility, then by breaking it into a separate project you, you --

MR. KESSLER: We've gone through this,
Brad, none of us on this call are the expert as
to what is required to run this facility. We can
all have opinions about it, and, and I've heard
it for the last 45 minutes, but we don't know
because we're not in the business, we're not the
expert, we're not the approving authority, the
regulatory authority.

MR. SCHWARTZ: And that's why they should go to OASAS first, just like we go to DOH to know that a stormwater's viable, or septic is viable, all the other involved agencies could give some input so the board is comfortable and knows that what you're studying is the real final project for your SEQR purposes.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okav.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. I really do want to move on.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. That's,

1 April 22, 2021 2 that's, that's a fair point, and I will, I will, I will look forward to the response from the 3 4 applicant on that point. 5 MS. WELLS: Thank you. 6 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. Thank 7 you. 8 MR. PREZIOSI: Members of the board, Mr. Davis did raise his hand. I don't know if you 9 10 want to let him speak now or have him reserve 11 comment to close the public hearing. 12 MR. KIMMERLING: I thought we weren't 13 going to do the back and forth thing, but it's up 14 to the Chair. 15 MR. DAVIS: It was just a statement of 16 fact, not -- it'll be very brief. There were a 17 couple of misstatements about things the board 18 doesn't have, and I want the board to know they 19 do have. May I? 20 MS. TAYLOR: Yes, please. 21 MR. DAVIS: Okay. There was a reference 22 to the landscaping plan. See, this was a point I 2.3 made a couple of meetings ago, we're somewhat

prejudiced because our presentations and our

24

1

submissions have been separated by years from today's date. We gave the board an original landscaping plan in 2015. It had 80 evergreen trees to be planted. 16 of those have already been planted along the road frontage. You have an updated plan in your possession from 2019 that shows 64 trees to be planted mostly along the border with the residents on Ouaker Hill Drive. It also shows the status of the plantings in the backyards along that, that along that fence line. You also have a site plan. It was last revised also in March 2019. It shows everything the applicant is proposing. Basically every question that Ms. wells put forward, she put forward years ago, and in your four-volume set from 2019 you have the answer to basically all of those questions. We're not proposing any outdoor recreational facilities. The site plan is the site plan. We have no intention of intensifying the use, building more buildings, building outdoor facilities. Mr. Schwartz unfortunately doesn't manage our business, the applicants are not idiots, they've consulted with experts,

2.3

experts will be running this facility. If 92
people is a maximum -- I heard 300 batted around
by Ms. Wells. The most -- the total number of
staff and, and patients at a maximum, they won't
be there all at one time, at full capacity, years
down the line would be 178, no more than 129
people there at one time, in the past 225 people
have been allowed there. So all -- you have all
of the answers to all of these questions. We've
been extremely fastidious and voluminous in
answering every single one. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Let me just, let me just say I -- about the landscaping plan that we were talking about it when we were on the site visit and, you know, having done a site visit now, it kind of brings the land to life for us, and so there's been a lot of information in this project, and we've got a lot of things to juggle, so that's why I, I don't remember the landscaping plan, but I -- we, we'd want to look at it again.

MR. KEHOE: I just, just to that point that I did request and got a copy of that, and

2.3

that will be provided to any-, everyone in advance of the May 4th hearing.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. Thanks, Chris.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Can we move on?

Mike, can we get to the next person, please?

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, it's still on the group represented by Mr. Schwartz. So I believe it's Mr. Steve Hampton. Can you state your name for the record and address?

MR. STEVEN HAMPTON: Hello. My name is Steven Hampton, I live at 2013 Quaker Ridge Road, directly across from the proposed site. In an attempt at brevity, I would like to endorse the concerns of the countless members of our communities expressed over the Zoom meetings, and at all the previous town hall meetings. I live directly across from the proposed site, as noted by the board members during their visit, site visit. My house, the Arkin family home, and the proposed site are the last three properties in the southern border of the town. I would like to take this opportunity to mention a concern not previously mentioned before, but first a little

24

1

anecdote that I believe sums up the mindset of the people who are drawn to our part of the town. When the realtor brought us to look at the house, we came across the Croton River via the Quaker Bridge. She told us she wanted to take a short detour and show us the Croton Dam. The area was really growing on me. Had we found the place we were searching for? When we reached the house I looked at her and said, I'm not getting out of the car. She chuckled and said, why not. I responded, it's too close to the road. Mind you the house sits about 140 feet from the roadway. But after about an hour I was convinced this was a peaceful, quiet neighborhood. I was somewhat concerned about the vacant property across the street that I later discovered had been vacant for over 30 years. I went to the town hall and was told that it was zoned residential. expressed my concern about development, in particular condos. I was told, yes, condos could be built there but only the number that conforms to R-80 zoning, which would be a max of ten. Confident that the property would be developed

1

within the town's own zoning regulations and that 2 would bring some more kids to the mix, we 3 purchased our home. Shortly after we, we 4 5 discovered that the property had been sold, I decided to take a walk up the hill and introduce 6 7 myself to the new neighbors and welcome them to 8 the neighborhood. When I got to the top of the 9 hill a man approached me and said what are you 10 doing here, this is private property. I 11 explained that I was a neighbor and was looking 12 to meet the, the new owners. I was promptly told 13 to leave and shortly thereafter the gate went up. 14 On at least two other occasions I reached out to 15 people on the property and gave them my name and 16 asked them to please call, have the new owners 17 please give me a call. Needless to say, I never 18 heard back from them. Before they bought --19 brought their proposal before the town never once 20 did they ever reach out to the neighborhood, let 21 alone the town itself. The hostility continues. They've not only banned community members from 22 2.3 walking the site during the board site visit, 24 they actually had a person standing guard to make

24

1

sure no community member entered. There has been no outreach, only hostility. Given this, I worry about the role we will be forced to play if this project goes through, so add one more concern to the list of over 20 that we have to date. Based on the application to date there are a range of promises we're supposed to believe will be fulfilled. Let's start with the most important, in my mind anyway. They are trying to sell the town on we will be just like the Betty Ford Foundation. That's like being invited to a lobster dinner and being served shrimp, and being perfectly clear, I'm talking tiny shrimp. We're supposed to, we're supposed to take these promises at face value despite the fact that the applicant has comp- completed renovations without permits, has not regularly paid taxes on time, has been openly hostile, and at least some of the principals have been convicted of fraud. Yes, that means they made promises and did they not -and then they did not keep them. If someone shows you who they are, believe them. Because we are on the for corner -- far corner of Cortlandt,

24

1

over 13 miles from town hall, note that the town itself is just over nine miles long, it is very rare that a town official of any sort passes through. For the Planning Board members, I believe your site visit may have been the first time you have been to our corner of Cortland. All of the above means that neighbors will be forced to act as de facto code enforcement agency, having to keep an eye on hostile commercial enterprise and deal with and report on violations. This is not what I or my neighbors had in mind when we made a commitment to live in the town. Sure, they claim there will be a community liaison, but track records show a decidedly different story. They even tried to claim the Rolling Stone Ho- Horse Farm was commercial to bolster their claim of having a commercial property in a residential area, when in fact, all they had to do was walk across the street and say, hey, neighbor. They couldn't even do that. A hostile, commercial enterprise is out of place in our friendly, residential community and will have an adverse impact on the

24

1

community character. At the end of the day this is all about money. Why else would they try to cram 92 patients into a facility that has the capacity for about 30? Remember, it's like Betty Ford. What distresses me most though, through this entire six, almost seven year process, is the applicant's attempts to paint my neighbors and friends as racist and not caring about the people in need. It's shameful. My best friend for over 40 years had a son who fought drug addiction. I watched him grow up and enjoyed sailing trips and family vacations together. I was with my friend the day after his son passed away from an overdose and had to experience his pain, our pain. 13 years later, I'm sorry, 13 years later pain subsides but, but never leaves Addiction has touched everyone's life in you. America, being a family member, a friend, or coworker, everyone has been touched and understands that help is needed. Even the town acknowledged the need and the master plan when they created the MOD to include the possibility for drug rehab. But this proposal, this

1

2 applicant has too many red flags. I don't understand how the Planning Board can entertain 3 this application given the state room 4 5 requirement, but if you're going to disregard established town regulations, we need to step 6 7 back and start with a site plan that is realistic enough for us to understand the impacts and have 8 9 backers who are both knowledgeable and 10 trustworthy, and finally focus on the operation 11 that puts Cortland residents first. Regulations 12 only allow the special permit for a community 13 hospital. This is not a community hospital. 14 board should ask the, the applicant to provide an 15 example of where they have done this before. It seems to be the sense that the applicant is a 16 17 bunch of do-gooders that came to town to help 18 people. I'll leave you with this. As far as I'm 19 concerned, this is not about me, it's about all 20 who follow and for that matter, all who preceded 21 us, generation after generation who are drawn to 22 the special qualities that this area has 2.3 preserved to this moment, the dam, the gorge, the 24 aqueduct trail, Teatown, and most importantly,

2.3

all the people who come from miles around to enjoy what I have the privilege to experience every day. I find it incongruous that anyone can truly believe that putting a 92-bed hospital in a residential area with no town water, no sewer line, and narrow country roads would not be an adverse impact and change forever the character of our community. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Madam Chair, before we all hop on, I just want to make two quick comments if I can. One just relates to the earlier question about the 92 beds and whether your board regulates the number of beds. I respectfully submit that the number of beds is critical here, because that is the metric that will tie to the impacts that will result from this proposal, right, if the board was considering a residential subdivision, you would be looking at the number of homes; if you were evaluating a retail shopping center, you would be evaluating the square feet in that, in that center. Here, the number of beds is the metric.

2.3

It will dictate how many employees, how many cars, how much water usage, how many ambulance calls, so that is why 92, 92 beds matters. Yes, OASAS will independently weigh in on the, the bed count and the program, but your board, under SEQR to evaluate the impacts, again, that's where the 92 beds come in, so the 92 beds will yield the impacts that we've been talking about for these past couple of --

MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, but, Brad, what you guys are saying is that there are going to be half the number of beds because it's not, it's not feasible, and if it's half the number of beds then the environmental impacts will be half.

MR. KEHOE: But -- and, Brad, we -MR. SCHWARTZ: With the impacts of 92
beds and we're submitted data --

MR. ROTHFEDER: That's what we've, that's what we've been investigating, the impact of 90-, --

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Right, but you're saying they'll never be able to do that, so it's not

2.3

going to be as bad for the community.

MR. SCHWARTZ: But that's not the application on the table. We're saying that, but the application of the -- that the applicant is asking you to vote on is 92 beds.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Right, right, exactly.

MR. KEHOE: And that's what we're, Brad, Brad, that's --

MR. ROTHFEDER: That's what we're, that's what we're doing.

MS. TAYLOR: But it still, it still comes back to the fact that we as a board cannot, you know, say for su-, say that you can or you can't have 92 beds, that's for another authority to do, so to, to a certain extent it's out of our hands. I mean we, we have to look at what we are presented, we do this every time any application comes to the, to this board, we look at what's there, we, we measure it against what- whatever specifics we have always used to deal with SEQR, and that's it. I mean -- and, and again, if 92 beds is inappropriate for this particular location I'm certain that OASAS will say, you

	$\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}$			
1	Page April 22, 2021			
2	can't have 92 beds here, but how can we say that?			
3	MR. SCHWARTZ: If 92 beds resulted in			
4	unmitigated impact that's where your board could			
5	then require the applicant to reduce the number			
6	of beds because there are impacts of 92 beds that			
7	cannot be mitigated. That's, that's where			
8	MR. KESSLER: And that's exactly			
9	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.			
10	MR. KESSLER: but that's exactly what			
11	we're evaluating, though, Brad.			
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah. 92.			
13	MR. KESSLER: Yes, that's what we're			
14	evaluating.			
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.			
16	MR. KESSLER: 92. That's the			
17	application. The traffic and, and the staff that			
18	comes along with 92 people being resident there,			
19	that's what we're evaluating.			
20	MR. ROTHFEDER: There's been a lot of			
21	studies done about traffic and water and noise			
22	and so on based on that number, and if you think			
23	there's going to be 46, then it's going to be a			

little bit better.

2.3

MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. But you're being asked to approve 92 beds, what works for me, is that 92 beds have shown impacts that are not mitigated, right, the 92 beds under the current proposal will yield significant adverse impact.

MR. KESSLER: And that -- but that's -- MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. We understand.

MR. KESSLER: -- that's why we're having the public hearings and that's what we're evaluating. We haven't come to a decision, Brad.

MR. SCHWARTZ: The second and last point is just on process. As your board continues to think about how this process will unfold, I just want to reiterate CRHISD's ask that you provide us with an opportunity to review and publicly comment on all the written responses that the applicant has promised to provide. I heard the applicant say again at the beginning tonight that there was some agreement with the Chair that all the responses will come at the end of the public hearing. Again, we're asking that when the applicant provide their written responses, we have an opportunity to review and comment.

1	April 22, 2021
2	That's how your board has always conducted your
3	reviews. We understand that at some point the
4	back and forth will end, but we're not there yet,
5	right, we've raised a lot of questions, a lot of
6	concerns that are material to the project, and so
7	our ask is to be given an opportunity to comment
8	on those responses.
9	MR. KESSLER: And why, and why do you
10	think you won't have that, that opportunity?
11	MR. SCHWARTZ: We're just making the,
12	the applicant has said a number of times that
13	there's some agreement that
14	MR. KESSLER: There's no agreement, and
15	it's not up to the applicant.
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: Perfect. Goodnight.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Are you done, Brad?
18	MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm done.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Good, thank you very much.
20	Okay. We've got to move along, Mike.
21	MR. PREZIOSI: We do have
22	MS. TAYLOR: It's now 8:45 and we
23	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, I have, I have nine
24	individuals that have expressed interest to raise

April	22,	2021
	April	April 22,

their hands, please stay on the line and keep the hand function raised on the Zoom app. I'm going to promote the next speaker, which is Jamie Black. Just state your name and your -- and the address for the record.

MR. KESSLER: She, she's on mute.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ PREZIOSI:}\ You\ can\ use\ alt\ and\ A$  at the same time.

MS. JAMIE BLACK: I'm --

MR. KESSLER: Okay, she's there.

MS. BLACK: Okay. My name is Jamie
Black. I have shared in the past, I own a home
at 35 Quaker Ridge Road and I've been the proud
owner of my home for over 25 years. When I hear
the applicant speak about developing this site -I had a conversation with the person responsible
for patient care at High Watch, which is a
facility in Kent, Connecticut, and what they said
was that with their facility after the first week
all of the residents are allowed to have
visitors. He said that it's essential for their
commitment to the program and their ability to
move forward toward a path to wellness. So now

24

1

we have the visitors, as someone or a group of people that we would really need to consider as part of the traffic to this site, because I don't think that they'll be visiting via a shuttle. Additionally, what he said was that people at these facilities, their facility specifically, that they need to have the highest level of comfort as possible, because he said that the process of moving through addiction is extremely difficult and very uncomfortable, and that what they do at their facility is to provide people with the level of support they need in terms of medication combined with the facility to allow them to understand the benefits of making better Having, having a former father-in-law, with his father as well, being very damaged, addicted to alcohol individuals, I truly understand firsthand the need for the support for people who are moving through the addiction process, and also the need for these facilities to really be able to provide the highest level of care and comfort and safety so that the people have the opportunity to find a safer, new normal

24

1

without their addiction being their emotional crutch. Additionally, when I, when I listen to the applicant, he's talking about these 86 trees. There's a water issue already on that property. It affects the surrounding wells presently. well test said that some of the wells would already be in danger. You have to understand, a tree, a one-inch caliber tree takes ten gallons of water. In order to ring that facility he's talking about 86 trees. First of all, the deer are going to have a heyday, it's like a big buffet table for them, and then additionally, to water the trees as they mature, you're talking about, say 7,000 or 8,000 gallons of water a day just for the trees, let alone the lawn, let alone a pool. And as I shared before, what attracts people to the Croton area is the rural ambience, and the rural ambience is supported because you don't have public water and you don't have sewer, so you can't really support what would be called density. In the Town of Ossining, less than 500 feet from the property line, we have density and everybody's having sort of like a conversation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

about, well, you shouldn't be that worried because if the facility isn't set up right, the facility is going to fail. What I've shared before is this is that the term that's used is called permanent scarring, and it's permanent scarring on the property value of the surrounding properties. And as I've shared before, Hud-the, the water view estates combined, is over \$60 million in, in property value. If you put a facility less than, you know, 2,000 feet away that affects the property values of these homes. You have to understand, as I've tried to share, you're not only causing damage to the 86 home owners, you're causing damage to the Village of Ossining because we are, in many instances, the piggy bank for the village, so the ironic part is this is that if you do -- if you allow this facility to be put in place then you diminish the taxable property value of our most valuable community, and then we can't support the village in the way the village really needs to be 2.3 supported in terms of all the social programs that we help, all the senior programs that we

24

1

help with, the school system that we help with, so in essence, by crippling us, you're potentially causing drug addiction problems because you're, you're, you're reducing the opportunities that the people in our community can have because you're reducing our property values and the taxes that can come from that, because some applicant, who has no skin in the game, wants to capitalize on an old use for what was basically an abandoned mansion. Now, with -- speaking about this abandoned mansion, if you guys recall, about, I don't know, over a year ago now, a person who was an engineer presented himself to you, and he said that he's intimately familiar with these buildings and he said that the way that these buildings are constructed make them a fire hazard, and because of the way the interior and the exterior walls are constructed, it sounded as if there's an airspace between the interior and the exterior, probably because of the decorative facade that goes with this whole faux English hunting lodge look, but to that point, the applicant says, oh, I'll have a 15,000

24

1

gallon tank to hold water, so in the event of a fire we'll have 15,000 gallons of water available, because obviously if you're in the middle of a drought, first of all, everybody's property is going to be tinder, so that's problem number one. And problem number two is, where are you going to pull the water? And then somebody might say, well, we'll just, we'll run hoses down the street to Quaker Ridge Road and pull the water up to the facility. Guess why you're not going to do it? Because when they put in water view estates, they never put in the pumping station to be able to support the volume, so the people are on the top of water view estates, they have sprinkler systems, but guess what, there's no pressure to charge them, and it was never remediated, so if you try to suck water out of our hydrant, guess what you're going to get, you're going to get a big vapor lock, because there is not enough pressure to push the water up the hill, literally. And so additionally with this, with this situation, so you have a 15,000 gallon tank there, and you say, okay, good, so we

1

2 can rest in peace. You cannot rest in peace, because if you have one pumper on site, it pumps 3 4 at 2,000 gallons a minute, so after seven minutes 5 what are you going to have? You're going to have nothing. So you say, okay, so then we'll throw 6 7 it into the swimming pool. Okay, so that's great, so you have maybe 30,000 gallons in the 8 9 swimming pool. Good plan, but guess what? Ιf 10 it's the dead of winter you don't have those 11 either. So, you know, the whole thing is 12 completely cockamamie and then I left my driveway 13 today and I nearly got clocked by a Baldor Food 14 delivery truck coming up Quaker Ridge, turning up 15 Glendale Road and heading on Quaker Ridge. And why did I nearly get clocked? Because they're 16 17 not supposed to really be on our road and they're 18 not supposed to be tra- transiting our 19 neighborhood. And why are they not supposed to 20 Because we have a five ton weight limit on be? 21 our road. There's a weight limit that Newcastle 22 has on their road. So I've asked time and time 2.3 again, how are you going to transit any of the 24 delivery vehicles and any of the large service

24

1

vehicles to this facility? The answer is you're going to have to use a helicopter because you cannot -- it's a 10-ton weight limit and a 17foot limit on the Quaker Bridge, we have a fiveton weight limit on our road because of the S turn because of the fact that we have no sidewalks, and additionally, Newcastle's got the same thing on Glendale. So when you came to your site visit how did you get there? Did you mosey over the cute little Quaker Bridge or did you come off nine and then come up Quaker, old Albany Post to Quaker Bridge Road, or did you come down Spring Valley and come down Glendale? Those are the ways that you would need to come. And quess Physically, we cannot put large trucks on these roads. We have a weight limit, it -- to protect the quality of life of our community and their safety. And as I shared with you before, you're talking about potentially ambulances racing to this facility. We lost the Croton tanker truck on the S turn. We have people walking because we have identified through our, our comprehensive plan process that you guys are

24

1

completely oblivious to because it's not your bailiwick because it's not your town. Well, guess what? When you look at our comprehensive planning process, what's identified as the, some of the most cherished areas in our community where people assign value. Guess where? assign it to the fabulous aqueduct trail that runs right down below my house. And how do you get to the fabulous aqueduct trial? You get to it because you pull off the road and you park in one of the little areas, that's where I go off Quaker Bridge Road, and you get there that way or you bike right across Quaker Bridge Road. we have that as one of our most sought after activities and one of our most cherished sort of community treasures. So where we have a serious, serious problem here is that your neighbors, the Town of Ossining, we work really, really hard to support our town and to support the village. Our health and happiness is tied to the fact that we have this naturalized environment that we call home. We know that we have public water, we know we have public sewer, so we know we're protecting

24

1

the Croton River, we know that in the event of fire that we have a chance because we have a fire department that's designed to support our community, we have some tanker trucks. You guys, you guys had a house, a house in Teatown that burned to the ground, like hello, you -- a house in Teatown burned to the ground completely, the house at the top of Glendale Road and Spring Valley burned to the ground, took all the dogs -cats, everybody, people barely got out. And why do we have this problem? We have this problem because, one, the roads are inaccessible; two, the fire department's retu-, who, who are responsible for coming to these calls, they're not even -- they're -- it, it takes them, it takes them an inordinate amount of time, it's something like, it's like, like 17 minutes to be able to get to these areas. So, first of all, you look at any of the, like the EMTs and the fire departments, what do they all have in common? They're all looking for volunteers. Why are they looking for volunteers? Because the whole upcoming generation, not that interested in

24

1

being a firefighter, not that interested in being So here, you're putting a facility in a an EMT. residential area, it needs high levels of support, this is what the person from High Watch said, they have a whole like ambulance corps that just supports their facility, because people who are going through a detox process are made very unwell, very unstable and things sometimes do not go very successfully for them. So it's, it's so preposterous to me, you, you get nationally acknowledged for setting up a whole hospital district to support wellness. This facility should be near that so that people who are not doing well have instant access to the high level of care that they need, instead of having to wait for an ambulance to show up, to come through a residential neighborhood, you know, and go round our S turn, you know. So, so, when I took a look at Mountainside Treatment Center and their facilities, Mountainside Treatment Center in New Canaan is on Route 53, state road. Mountainside Treatment facility in Danbury, Route 7, state road. Mountainside Treatment facility in

24

1

Chappaqua, Route 117, state road. The facility High Watch, as somebody said, it's been there since 1930, so all of the residential properties that surround it understand that that's what they're buying into because it's been there since 1930, so it's not like the new kid on the block. So what I'm sharing with you is this, is that when you're thinking about this application, I, I beg you to think about it in the larger context of where it is and what the responsibility is physically and financially for the area that's in the surrounding quarter mile and half mile in terms of what our responsibilities are. We are obligated, for the safety and the wellness of, not only town, we're obligated for the safety and the wellness of the village, so understand when you have somebody who's purporting that they want to help people who have a specific need, well, all I'm telling you is this, is day in, day out for over 40 years, our surrounding community has been focused on helping one another, has been focused on helping the school system, has been focused on helping anybody in need in the

24

1

village, and you have no idea in Croton how significant that responsibility is because your community is not comprised like ours. You have, you have no idea, Cortlandt Manor, you do not understand the way that we suffer, you do not understand the responsibilities that we have, and you do not understand how important it is for us to be able to do what we do well, have the property values that we have continue to escalate, because we are helping so many people who are in need. So for these, like whoever applicants they are, seven or whatever, I think that it is a misplaced idea that is designed to leverage an existing old use and to potentially cripple the surrounding community, so basically causing us to create the situation in the village and in our school system that they're saying they're trying to prevent. So we've got one big circle here and we are dependent on you to think about this, from your perspective, from our perspective, and from the Village of Ossining's perspective, and if anybody is unfamiliar with the Village of Ossining, and the Village of

2.3

Ossining school system, and what we have as our commitment to community, and how important it is that all of our young people have a fighting chance, just go and go and see, you know, go, go and drive in the Village of Ossining, and take a look at the Village of Ossining, and take a look at Open Door and take a look at the senior facility, and you'll see what our day job is, and you'll see what our community struggles are, because you are not only deciding for your community, you are deciding for our community.

MS. TAYLOR: Ms. Black, thank you very much for your comments. There are a number of other people waiting. It is after 9:00 now and the, the hearing will end at 10:00. So I thank you for that. But I want to make sure that everybody who wants to say something gets to say something tonight.

MS. BLACK: Yes, and all I would do is ask the board to please go into the Village of Ossining and spend time in the Village of Ossining and speak to the people who are there to help care for the people in our community that

2.3

need a hand and understand what our responsibility is to them, so understand what your responsibility is to us. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you, Ms. Black.

Our next speaker is Violeta. Please state your name for the record.

MS. TAYLOR: But before we do, how many people, Mike, how many people are still waiting to be heard?

MR. PREZIOSI: We have six individuals left with their hands raised, so I'm going to promote Ms. Violeta to speak. Just state your name and address for the record.

MS. VIOLA SAQUIO: Hi there, good evening. My name is Viola Saquio [phonetic]. I grew up here at 7 Teatown Road, so I've been here for 30 years. I, I apologize for any extra noise. My puppy is getting restless, like I imagine many of us are, so hopefully she'll quiet down. Thank you so much for this opportunity to speak, and I also just want to thank Ms. Taylor for laying out some guidelines for this meeting.

24

1

In many ways these guidelines reflect, reflect the values of respect and communication that are so important in this community. And I also just want to say that it really feels like a shame that Mr. Davis's comments throughout haven't followed those same guidelines. And I appreciate everyone throughout the meeting who was really making an effort to do that in this circumstance. I really want to make clear that this isn't about keeping people out of the neighborhood. We love meeting new families who move to the area. Darla -- that's my dog's name. I, you know, I've just met some neighbors who moved down the road. have puppies who play with Darla and keep quiet and I just watched their chickens when they went away for a month, and it's, you know, one of the great joys of living in, in a tight knit community, so we really cherish that and, and welcome, welcome new families however they can, they can join us residentially. This is really about stopping a for-profit business from coming in and being built in a community that is intended to be residential. I'm sorry, one

24

1

moment. You know, the, so much of the way we live life, what we enjoy about life in Croton, is the larger community and the larger family and putting a massive for-profit business in the middle of that, not only disrupts it, but breaks it apart. So this, this applicant started working on this treatment center in a neighborhood that's just not meant to support this, in a location that's not zoned for what they're trying to do, and I, I keep trying to figure it out, but it just doesn't make sense how the, the residents of our tiny community should be held accountable because the applicants were reckless with their money. I understand that they put a lot of money into this, but they didn't have permission to do that in the first place, so it doesn't seem fair that that's now -it seems that they think that entitles them to continue this project, when they didn't have the permission to begin with, and they haven't been following the rules that the rest of the community has agreed to follow. So regardless of the money they've put in, what they're talking

1

about is starting a business, which they can do 2 anywhere, especially somewhere that's zoned for 3 this and can support this, but most of us can't 4 5 find other homes, this is the place we've invested in, both with our homes, but also our 6 7 families in the community for decades. year, my family -- Darla -- we, we -- I love her 8 9 so much, but she always barks right when I talk. 10 This year, for the first time, we started to grow 11 both our flower and our vegetable gardens 12 entirely from seeds, which means that we have two 13 grow lights inside our house, which is about, 14 it's pretty far from our neighbors, but we, last, 15 just last week, we got this beautiful handwritten 16 note from our neighbors, asking if we could 17 please do something to accommodate this because 18 the lights were really disruptive to their 19 sleeping hours, which we immediately did. We 20 changed the hours we had the lights on, we put up 21 some curtains, we just, we made it work and, you 22 know, I, I went to their mailbox and dropped off 2.3 a, a letter in there to let them know we were 24 doing it. And, you know, I really, I really

24

1

appreciate the, the focus on this, this specific proposal and your attention, your time and attention bringing us back to that. And one of, one of you asked if the people on the fourth floor should care what time the neighbors wake up, and I think that is such an important question, because I think for most, I, I've never met in the 30 years I've lived here, I've never met anyone who would say no to that question, and I think that's what really defines our community is that we do care what time the neighbors go to bed, and normally she stops barking before 9:00 because it makes the neighbors upset, or we go upstairs or something, you know, we -- it's important to us to build our lives around what makes our neighbors happy, because that makes us happy, and just with how these -- with how the applicant has addressed us so far, it's hard for me to imagine that they have that same value system, and I'm not -- my problem with the proposal is not the value system, it's that it's a business, and we're here as residents. I just think if those two lights bother our neighbors, I

24

1

can only imagine what 50 lights on 24 hours a day will do, and -- but ultimately, it's not about the impact of the lights or the traffic or the water, it's really about what we've agreed to as a community, and I didn't make the choice to come here, my family did, but I'm super grateful that they did, and they made decisions based on this being a small, intimate, safe community where you would know your neighbors and help each other out, and that's been my whole experience here, and fundamentally, I think this proposal changes that makeup, that definition of not just this town, but of a residential community, and when you're, when you're voting on this, or discussing this proposal, it isn't just this specific facility, it's the precedent we're setting for any commercial business that wants to come into a residential area. And I think it's, it's really important that those two things remain separate, and I, you know, I really appreciate the --I really appreciate the time to discuss Darla. that with you all and hear the different voices on this call. I know it's a lot of, it's a lot

2.3

of details to consider, so I'm really grateful for your time and I just want to say, again, which I think is clear, my objection is really to a commercial business being in this residential area, especially of this magnitude. Thank you so much. Of course now she's sitting next to me quietly. Have a great night.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Our next speaker is going to be Gordon Moesch.

MR. GORDON MOESCH: Can you hear me now?

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes. Just state your

name and address for the record.

MR. MOESCH: Yeah. My name is Gordon

Moesch, I live at 2028 Quaker Ridge Road. We've

all talked about traffic and water at great

length tonight, but as far as traffic goes, I do

ride my bike around the neighborhood, around this

beautiful area two to three times a week, but I

do limit my ride times between the hours of 9:00

a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, for

the, for the majority of the time. The day and

the weekend traffic are already too busy for the

24

1

-- our narrow roads and my safety. My main concerns are if this facility gets approved, there are many conditions the applicant has put in place to get their approval, to shuttling of employees back and forth to the site. Is there a facility in our area that does this? Limiting visitors to 25 percent of patients on the weekends and only on the weekends. Is there another facility in our area that does this? Specific size vehicles for deliveries for you know, laundry, whatever, you know. And again, is there a facility in the area that does this? And who's going to control this? They're going to maintain this, you know, they have a traffic management system in place, but who's going to, you know, who's going to watch them? On the well water issue, there was trouble with two wells during the testing. In my opinion that's two too many. The applicant will monitor these wells during the initial startup of the facility, but what if the wells run dry? What if other wells are affected? The applicant states there will be no new buildings, and we have seen in the last

2.3

few meetings, the size and scope of the proposed facility doesn't meet the size of the existing facility and site. Once approved, how can we stop further development on the site? What is our recourse then? If the applicant doesn't conform to their own conditions, then in the future, this will not be the facility as proposed, proposed to the Planning Board today. Who will be monitoring all of these to protect the neighborhood? That's it. Thank you very much.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Thank you. Our next speaker is going to be Heather. Just state your name and address for the record.

MS. HEATHER BOUZA: Okay. Am I -- can you hear me?

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes.

MS. BOUZA: Okay, good. Sorry, I apologize. My name is Heather Bouza, I'm at 13 Amalfi Drive in Cortlandt Manor. I wanted to come on as a professional doing business in our area and a local realtor. I do a tremendous

24

1

amount of business in Cortlandt, Croton and Ossining. I'm one of the top agents actually in the area. And I'm coming on because I actually had a deal on 81 Quaker, and I'm very versed with what's going on with that. Now, I won't speak into detail with that because I don't want to defame anybody or -- but I do know -- I want to speak to kind of what I saw, but also more importantly to what the community and what, what I -- what it shows to people, the clients that I bring in. I'm an exclusive agent for a relocation company out of the City, they send me clients, and part of that job is to do town tours. Prior to showing any homes I tour the communities in other neighborhoods to show what the communities are about to allow the client to see if it's a fit for them. Every tour through Croton, I go down Quaker Hill. Teatown is a coveted area in Croton. It's a coveted area for anybody coming up to live in area. And part of my job is to introduce that area and the people that are considering Croton, it's not just a village, it's Mount Airy, it's Teatown, it's

1

2	through the Croton Gorge Croke Park. It's the
3	areas that make Croton so special. I call it a
4	big town mentality with a small town feel.
5	Driving through and when I take them through,
6	I do I go over the Croton Bridge, over the
7	bridge and I go into, into the neighborhood with
8	and I go up and down the street. I've sold
9	three homes in Quaker Hill off that street in the
10	last year, all to young families, all with young
11	children moving in. And the beauty of the
12	community in the neighborhood in particular is
13	the, the accessibility to have kids ride their
14	bikes, have a family-friendly neighborhood or a
15	fam-, a neighborhood that is accessible to, to
16	nature and also the thought of your children or
17	walking your dogs, moving out, without having
18	traffic running through. I can tell you through
19	every town tour I do on, in particular the
20	weekends, there are bikers, there are runners,
21	there are dog walkers. It's commented on every
22	point of the every comment and every tour that
23	I do, all through the area. It is an area
24	Croton is a really special community that I can

24

1

tell you has been not just busy with COVID, but prior to COVID because of the, the uniqueness of the community and the town and what it offers, from the tremendous amount of nature as well as accessibility to the City. If you -- you all, I'm sure at some point, didn't experience an early COVID, I was out touring, you know, with tour cars behind me trying to get into -- Croton Gorge just shut down, the amount of people coming up that, that discovered the area from the city or down county to just even walk or explore Teatown itself was so overwhelming that they had cops and ATVs stopping people and shutting the parks down because it was so busy from that people going into explore the area, to take in the nature. The thought of having a facility of any commercial sort -- listen, we all want Trader Joe's in the area, but I don't want it in Teatown, I don't want it in Quaker Ridge because that's not fair to the community. It will have an adverse effect on the neighbors around them. Your own well water, we all know what, you know, what well water, what that requires, you know, I

100

April 22, 2021

1

2 do multiple tests when I -- my inspections doing homes, wells are one of them. Adding a facility 3 4 that is going to tap the systems in the 5 surrounding areas is not beneficial to the community, the homes that are already there. 6 7 percent the property values will go down, 100 percent. It's a very exclusive area, it was pre-8 9 COVID, it is now, but it's, again, you're getting 10 beautiful area coveted because of the, the 11 remoteness, but ability to be so close to town. 12 The roads themselves, again, I can't imagine 13 delivery trucks, lots of extra vehicles driving 14 through town. We -- that's been discussed 15 numerous -- you know, and it -- you know, at 16 length, I think you guys get it, but I can tell 17 you as a professional in the area, I am not 18 adverse to -- I am happy to find them another 19 place that they can do the facility. I champion 20 the reasons behind it. I lived in California for 21 nine years. I know Betty Ford Clinic quite well. 22 I spent many, many years and weekends in Palm 2.3 Springs. It's surrounded by golf courses and, 24 and hotels. It is not in residential

1

2 neighborhood at all. It is literally commercial -- it is, it is commercial resort area. 3 Beautiful, well maintained. I am, again, 4 5 champion, I'm the first person to, you know, help them find a place that will better be -- you 6 7 know, suit the purposes of it, but not in the community that it surrounds. I will tell you as 8 9 far as dealing with the owners of 81, who are 10 trying to sell it. They purchased it as an 11 investment property. There was zero maintenance 12 done to it, it was run to the ground, 13 unfortunately. If you see prior listings -prior pictures to when the previous owner had it, 14 it was beautiful. The pool was beautiful. 15 16 pool's not in working order, the house itself has 17 not been maintained. My clients, buying into it, 18 knew that and they were willing to put a lot of 19 work into it. The easement was not disclosed. 20 There was multiple different surveys that were 21 The one that's currently online is not 22 the one that we actually had to pay -- we paid, 2.3 you know, to have done. So I'm not quite sure 24 what was, you know, what they've done in

24

1

particular. When asked what the survey was for, we weren't t-, what the easement was for, they would never disclose what it was for. When, when asked to remove the easement to continue with the sale, they said absolutely not possible, which then was a massive, massive problem for my And they were lovely and were happy to clients. move forward and were looking to restore the home and build a family there, but a 30-foot -- you know, a half an acre easement going on the side of their house with no understanding what the use could be for and no disclosure on it, was a massive concern. Dealing with the sellers on, on that was far -- nothing but easy, it was -- I mean, nothing but uneasy, very challenging, and, you know, very secretive and very, very, very hard to get answers across the board, and a very -- you know, and this is a small purchase. This, this is a small piece of the pie. You know, dealing with a large, large scale purchase, I couldn't imagine what -- how hard in what the, you know, disclosure and what you're going to get from that. I'm happy -- you know, I want people

2.3

to do well, I want people to be happy and do the right thing. I just, like I said, I wouldn't put any commercial spot back there. I wouldn't put a, a Target, a, a hospital, a school or a rehab center in a neighborhood that is surrounded by residents, by residents, and it is known for that and coveted for that. Yes, I'm, you know, I'm happy to support, I love the community, I'm blessed to work and, you know, and bring people into the area and, and showcase our -- the gorgeous area we live in, and happy to, and happy to do that, but I, I know for a fact it will absolutely 100 percent be detrimental to the community. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you. The next speaker is going to be Adam Cooper. State your name and record for the -- name and address for the record. Mr. Cooper, you can use alt and A at the same time to unmute yourself or use the mute icon, bottom left of your screen. There's a little microphone icon on the Zoom app, you can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

hit that to unmute, or use alt A on your keyboard, it will unmute you, hold the two together at the same time. Okay, Mr. Cooper's having some technical difficulties, so I'll go to the next speaker and circle back to him. Our next speaker is C. Mano. Just state your full name and address for the record.

MS. CYNTHIA MANOCHERIAN: Sorry, of course I put a cookie in my mouth. Who knew? Hi. Cynthia Manocherian, 100 Glendale Road. Can you see me? Yes. Okay. So I would like to go over this project from a planning perspective with a little bit of orientation. I've pulled some documents together. I'm going to do it low tech, old school. Hopefully, you'll be able to recognize what I put up in front of the screen as I go. Are you all able to see this map? So this is a Langan submission having to do with the well locations and a half mile buffer zone that they drew to try to figure out which other wells in the neighborhood might be compromised. Double checking the math, a half mile is 2,640 feet. This particular submission was done on October

24

1

10, 2017. So you have the two concentric circles, and now I did a lot of work and protest having to do with the Sunshine Home. This is a much more significant map of the area. What you see were the numbers that Newcastle gave Sunshine Home to review. So in their case, they were asked to do a 1,500 foot and a 2,500 foot concentric circle from their three wells and look for possible wells in the area that might be impacted by their project. So I've added at the 1,500 and the 2,500 foot markers the distance of the Hudson Ridge wells to the Sunshine Home wells in this map, and what you see in their overlap is that these two sets of concentric circles actually wind up directly hitting, they overlap each other and they hit the Indian Brook Reservoir watershed, which is the yellow part of this map. At the bottom you see Indian Brook Reservoir, in the map you also see the boundary of Newcastle which cuts off Croton from Ossining and in green here you see where I live, this is Glendale Road and this is property frontage of mine on Glendale Road, so I'm smack in the middle

24

1

of all of what's going on in terms of following water issues pertaining to this area. I want to talk about it because the watershed is vital to the Town of Ossining. Town of Ossining controls the water from the Indian Brook Reservoir and shares this water with town and village and other parts of some of the Briarcliff zone, and even a little bit up to the Newcastle boundary. This past summer, 2020, you may remember that the Indian Brook Reservoir wound up being the only reservoir serving Ossining municipal hookups, because there was a problem with the Croton Reservoir so it became the 100 percent supplier of water to Ossining, and they put out, in January of 2020, this post on the Village of Ossining website. So the watershed supply really matters to many members of the western part of Westchester County, and I wonder has the Village of Ossining signed off on the Hudson Ridge plan and the possible impacts of that plan on the watershed, for instance, including pollution, but also possible water table reduction of the watershed. I will say that the Village of

24

1

Ossining was asked on several occasions by the developer of the Sunshine Home, because they too have well water issues for their facility, to let them hook up to the Village of Ossining, hook up for the reservoir, and the answer was consistently no, that they needed the supply for their own residents, and certainly this past year's emergency proved, proved them right. So at this point, although the developer's water data is suspect to the community, and they have asked many times for municipal water, they have been refused. It's interesting also that during the pandemic everyone wound up at home and so current water numbers I haven't looked into, I know the usage is higher because people have been What will happen in terms of well water, home. water table, reservoir needs moving forward, I think those numbers are unclear. Moving on to other issues that are unclear to me. So Sunshine Home often referred in their process in Newcastle to numbers that Hudson Ridge had already provided, and in fact, I would question whether Langan -- and Langan was also the specialist for

24

1

Sunshine Home, doesn't have a conflict of interest by being the chosen one to present numbers where there are overlapping issues between these two projects, neither one of which are appropriate to the existing residential zoning of this area. So I would like the town to look into whether Langan's numbers should be double checked by a separate consultant. Traffic study, same thing I mentioned it last time, traffic studies, even if they're being done this weekend really don't count, you need to do traffic studies and take into account Sunshine Home numbers, because they're way ahead now of Hudson Ridge and their traffic numbers will impact the area. Indian Point, I'd like to discuss Indian Point and other emergency evacuation issues. A couple of weeks ago we all received this message from Indian Point, even though they are shut down and decommissioning they will still have their spent fuel rods, they will still be conducting emergency testing and siren system and so forth and so on for the 10mile emergency planning zone area, the EPZ, and

24

1

this project falls in the EPZ. And I ask what is the plan for emergency evacuation or shelter on site for a project where you are letting the community know, actually they won't have any cars, they'll be just on site. So do you know they are required to produce a full desdescription and vetted plan for keeping their patients safe during an emergency evacuation. Ι don't think they're capable. I know what sheltering on site would mean. They're in an existing old building, I don't think they'd be capable of setting a shelter on site plan that would be satisfactory. So how are they getting out of there in an emergency? Do you know? don't know. So on the same topic of emergency services, my question has to do with the planning and budgeting for the town. Are you planning on increasing your EMS staff, even though it's volunteer, your fire department staff, even though it's volunteer? Are you planning on increasing your police force? What about all of the equipment? Are you planning on buying additional fire trucks, police vehicles,

1

2 ambulances? Are you going to set up a much broader training requirement for all of these 3 different divisions and have you budgeted for 4 5 Because I will tell you that Newcastle did not budget for any of that for Sunshine Home with 6 7 all of these children and staff equally isolated in the woods. A fire comes through. I, I will 8 9 add, for Jamie Black, that I met her through the 10 Sunshine Home public comments. She failed to 11 mention that she had been married to a 12 firefighter for many years, which is why she 13 knows all about truck weights and, and road 14 vehicle allowances and so forth. So Ossining 15 didn't budget for additional emergency services, 16 Newcastle didn't budget for additional emergency 17 services. You do all have an intermunicipal 18 agreement to support each other during 19 emergencies in this part of Westchester County, 20 so, in effect, your town is already additionally 21 burdened by the fact that Sunshine Home was given 22 I don't know if you factored that into 2.3 your budgeting and planning for emergency 24 services, but you will also have to, if you

2.3

approve this plan, factor it in again for this project in a very difficult area to service.

Thank you so much for your time, and I appreciate that you're going the distance with the community.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. BIANCHI: Mike, before we go ahead.

Chris, did we send a request to Croton or

Ossining, or Newcastle for that matter, regarding this application for comments?

MR. KEHOE: I would have to confirm that, but that would have been back in 2015 when the original application came in, and I can confirm that, but most recently, with the starting up of these public hearings, we did notify all of the adjacent municipalities, immediately adjacent, Newcastle and we also notified Westchester County, but I can confirm all those referrals.

MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, I just wondered if they had any -- well, it's been a number of years, anything more recent. Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: There, there were a

24 MR. PREZI

2.3

correspondence sent from the local fire departments a few times. We have, I think, three or four letters from them as well, so -- and emergency services, so we -- I do know we did do referrals, but as Chris mentioned, you know, it's always good to double check.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: You're welcome. Our next speaker is going to be Suzanne Gardos.

MR. ROB GARDOS: Hello, hi, I'm Rob
Gardos, not Suzanne, that's my wife. I live at
2071 Quaker Ridge Road, very close to the
facility. I just felt compelled to speak.
Certainly I'm not going to say anything new. I
think we've heard some amazing points today. I
want to thank the board for being so thorough in
taking, you know, these issues very seriously,
but, you know, I just want to emphasize, and I
want it to be on the record, no sewers, no
municipal water, narrow roads that are well
traveled, I bicycle on those roads, I run on
those roads, not zoned properly, this isn't a
state road. I don't understand how we got here,

1

2 but again, I will say I'm not as close to this process, I've been attending these meetings, but 3 I just want to say this as a function, this all 4 5 comes down to risk, and what's clear, based on the community, and based on the facilities and, 6 7 and the infrastructure of the community, this facility would add tremendous risk, and that risk 8 9 would have an impact on property value, and after 10 tax as agreed, it would have a massive impact, a 11 negative impact on taxable taxes generated by, by 12 properties in this community, and I just think 13 that this needs to be seriously looked at, 14 obviously, I know we spent many, many years 15 looking at this, but this is all -- it all comes 16 down to the risk, the massive risk to the nature 17 of this community that a ton of people, you know, 18 that live here, lived here for decades, are 19 enjoying, and sticking a hospital smack in the 20 middle, which again, I have no idea how this 21 could possibly have gotten so far, on a narrow, 22 non state road that's not zoned for this, I mean, 2.3 there's a reason why Cortlandt created these zoning rules. I just don't understand it, but I 24

24

1

just want to emphasize all these amazing points, because what's going to happen, of course, the applicant's attorneys are going to find whatever experts they want to find to say that the water's going to be enough water. What happens if they're, if they're incorrect? I mean, someone had talked about does money go into escrow, are they somehow going to magically connect everyone to the muni-, the municipal water system? What are the implications? There's a massive amount of risk, and I think this board -- and I know you -- everyone here is being incredibly thorough, but if we don't consider that risk and we let this, we let this facility proceed and these things happen unexpectedly, you'll destroy the community. I just want to make that clear. This community that people have lived here for years, for decades, that love -- that come and visit here because of the nature, because of the quiet, you will ruin the community. I just want to emphasize that again. That's all I wanted to say, so, thank you so much for your time, and I really hope you consider the words of the

2.3

April 22, 2021

community, because this is a massive decision and it's going to have material impact on us and our children, our children's children. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Great, thanks. I'm going to promote Adam Cooper again to try to voice his concerns and questions. Mr. Cooper, you're being promoted. Just state your name, full name and address for the record.

MR. ADAM COOPER: Okay, finally. Can you hear me and see me? Hello?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, you're all set. Go ahead.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. COOPER: Okay, thank you. Sorry for the delay, and sorry for the trouble. First of all, I just want to thank everyone. It's coming up to 10:00, and I appreciate both sides. I'm actually a new resident. I moved here about a year and a half ago, and I only came to understand this issue about a week ago. And I just want to make the point that when I moved

2.3

here, like many of the others, it took me about six months to find a town that I wanted to move my family to, and then within Croton, it took me another six months to find a spot that we're all aware of, and as I'm new to this, I don't know if this forum is appropriate, but I just, I really have two points or questions. One is, I just want to understand from the documents that I read, is there going to be an access road that goes on to Quaker Hill Drive?

MR. PREZIOSI: We're just taking comments --

MR. PREZIOSI: We're just taking comments, Mr. Cooper, if you could just voice your comments. The applicant's going to respond in, in detail, in written, in written detail.

MR. COOPER: Moving on to question --

MR. COOPER: Okay. Well, then my other comment would be as, just from my very first impressions, my understanding is that a planning board is, is somewhat supposed to be impartial, and I guess the comment that I would have is the observation that it doesn't seem to be that way,

2.3

I'm not sure why, but especially the comments from Steve Kessler, I don't understand why he's so opposed to what most of the community seems to be voicing here.

MR. KESSLER: Sir, sir, sir, I resent, I resent your comments. You, you don't know me, I've been, I've been on this board for 30 plus years, no one has ever said that I am, implied that I am, I am, I am not impartial in all those years, so I resent your comments. And don't mistake the questions I ask to indicate that I am on one side or the other. I play devil's advocate here, I ask questions of both sides, so you're new to this, I am not, and if you would do your homework before you make those kinds of accusations I would appreciate that.

MR. COOPER: No, I appreciate your comment back. I'm just simply stating my observation, and that's my --

MR. KESSLER: But --

MR. COOPER: Sorry, I'm just stating my observation. I, really, that's, that's the only observation that I have because I've heard you

1	April 22, 2021
2	say
3	MR. KESSLER: You, you know, but you're
4	stating it, you're stating it on a public record
5	and I resent that. Okay?
6	MR. COOPER: I'm, I'm okay, but I'm,
7	I'm, I'm a taxpaying resident here and I'm
8	allowed to voice my opinion in this public forum,
9	and my observation has been nothing but
10	opposition from you, and I'm just you know, if
11	you want to be rhetorical and, and answer why,
12	but that's my observation.
13	MR. KESSLER: I am not I, I, I
14	answered it already.
15	MR. COOPER: I've heard you state many
16	times all the things that the Planning Board
17	can't do and can't
18	MS. TAYLOR: Well, Mr. Cooper, Mr.
19	Cooper
20	MR. COOPER: like the greater context
21	
22	MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Cooper, please.
23	MR. COOPER: like the greater context
24	of this issue.
	n

1	Page 13 April 22, 2021
2	MR. KESSLER: You know
3	MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Cooper, please.
4	MR. COOPER: Yes?
5	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. This is not the
6	place for the back and forth that you've
7	established.
8	MR. KESSLER: Look, I've been around a
9	lot longer than you have and no one's ever
10	questioned my integrity, and I resent it, and,
11	and you should apologize.
12	MR. COOPER: Well, maybe, maybe it's
13	time that someone should.
14	MR. KESSLER: Oh, really? Oh, really?
15	MR. SUBIN: Can, can I just, can I just,
16	hold on, can I just jump in, can I just jump in -
17	_
18	MS. TAYLOR: Steve. Steve.
19	MR. KESSLER: Good, thank you. Who, who
20	made you the arbiter of what's right and what's
21	wrong, sir?
22	MS. TAYLOR: Steve, Steve, please.
23	MR. SUBIN: I just want to say one
24	thing. Let's, let's plea- please, let's keep

2.3

it clean. I will say Mr., Mr. Kessler, Mr.

Kessler has -- is approaching things from a very
legal standpoint and a lot of his questions, he's

trying to solicit certain responses because this
is a special permit and certain issues are being

framed there, so I would not take his comments to
say that he's going to vote one way or the other
on any of these things. He is approaching things
in a very legal frame- framework, and I just

wanted to make that straight as the attorney who,
who represents this board in this matter, so -but let's please keep it clean in that regard.

MR. COOPER: I'm, I'm, I'm keeping it clean, I appreciate, I, I appreciate --

MR. KESSLER: I don't want to hear [unintelligible] [02:42:19] apology.

MR. COOPER: I'm simply -- I don't know if you're affording me the opportunity to speak, but I'm simply stating my observation, and it was a question, my observation was it appears that you seem to be opposed on every single point, and I thought, my understanding is that the Planning Board should be neutral, like a judge, and hear

1	April 22, 2021
2	both sides of it. That's my question.
3	MR. KESSLER: Okay. How many, how many
4	sir, sir, how many meetings have you been to?
5	MR. COOPER: In this particular case,
6	this is my first one and that's
7	MR. KESSLER: Why don't you go back,
8	sir, and read the records and look at the
9	questions I've asked of the applicant. Okay.
10	Why don't you do that, why don't you do your
11	homework before you make accu- accusation?
12	MR. COOPER: Well, maybe, maybe you
13	shouldn't accuse me of not doing homework. I've
14	had a week and I've reviewed all the documents
15	and my pers-,
16	MR. KESSLER: Have you read, have you
17	read the minutes?
18	MR. COOPER: Listen, let's not go I -
19	- excuse me, I just stated my observation as to
20	why you're so opposed to
21	MS. TAYLOR: Steve
22	MR. KESSLER: Sir, wait, no, hold on
23	MS. TAYLOR: Please.
24	MR. KESSLER: This is personal.

1	April 22, 2021
2	MR. COOPER: a neighborhood as
3	residential and turn it into something else.
4	MR. KESSLER: This is personal.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Cooper. Steve.
6	MR. KESSLER: Mr. Cooper, Mr. Cooper, go
7	read the minutes, and then when you have facts
8	you can speak. Opinions are great, and you know
9	what the expression is about opinions, and you
10	certainly are.
11	MR. COOPER: Yeah. Okay. Thank you for
12	that. I expressed my observation in that, sir.
13	MR. KESSLER: Fine. Okay. And it's on
14	the record.
15	MR. COOPER: That's it.
16	MR. KESSLER: Thank you for your
17	observations.
18	MR. COOPER: Thank you for your comment
19	back. I appreciate it.
20	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Next.
21	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright, Mr. Cooper,
22	are you done at this point, did you make your
23	point?
24	MR. COOPER: I did make my point. Thank

1	Page 14 April 22, 2021
2	you.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you very much.
4	Alright. Are there any other people, Mike who
5	have to speak?
6	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, we, we have just a
7	handful of speakers left. I'm going to promote -
8	_
9	MS. TAYLOR: How many?
10	MR. PREZIOSI: Just a handful of
11	speakers left, about three. I have Ally being
12	promoted to speaker. Just state your name and,
13	full name and address for the record.
14	MS. ALICE LEE: Hi.
15	MS. TAYLOR: Please, you will the,
16	the hearing will be finished at 10:00. It is
17	10:00 about 10 minutes, 12 minutes to 10:00.
18	We need to move
19	MR. PREZIOSI: We just, we just lost
20	Ally, so I'm going to
21	MS. TAYLOR: Mm-hmm. Fine.
22	MR. PREZIOSI: promote or try to get
23	her back on, just give me one second. Ally,
24	please state your name, full name and address for

2

the record.

3

1

MS. LEE: Hi, hello.

4

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, we can hear you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. LEE: Hi, my name is Alice Lee. I live on 2102 Quaker Ridge Road. And I'm also a new resident, and I really wanted to first thank the Planning Board and the neighbors for sharing such important points. Heather was actually one of our agents, and I wasn't expecting her to be on this today, so it was really nice to hear from And when we purchased our home about two her. years ago I thought our agents were so kind and sharing all of the details and nuances of the area of Croton. And one of the points -- I don't want to really talk about what was already said, but I just want to point out this one aspect, which was, they made it clear to us that we should put our names down for a parking spot at the Croton-Harmon train station immediately, even before we purchased our home because there was a two-year waitlist. And it really did take at least a year, and several of our neighbors who were also new to the neighborhood, we, we all

24

1

were kind of sharing our scars of having to wait for a parking spot. I know Mr. Davis has mentioned that there were some neighbors that were driving back and forth on this strip, and I just thought it was a little amusing because, you know, there are a lot of people who are working from home right now, who are not driving their regular commutes at all. Normally we would be driving every morning and night back and forth to the train stations, but right now I'm aware of most of my neighbors still working from home. So I just want the Planning Board to kind of consider that. Right now we're still going through COVID and a lot of our neighbors are still working from home, and what we're seeing with the traffic today right now is probably not representative of what it used to be before COVID, and, you know, even for us right now, we're not driving the way that we used to, we're not seeing family and friends the way that we used to, but we are eager to have that time come back so, you know, when that time does come back where everyone is able to socialize again

April 22, 2021

comfortably, I

are actually a

Thank you.

MS. TA

MR. PR

promote Michael

your full name

MR. MI

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

comfortably, I think they'll see that these roads are actually a lot busier than they are today.

Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. PREZIOSI: Alright. I'm going to promote Michael Shannon to speaker. Just state your full name and address for the record.

MR. MICHAEL SHANNON: Mike Shannon here. Can you hear me?

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, we can hear you. Go ahead.

MR. SHANNON: Thank you. I just wanted to take just a second to thank the board members who came to the site visit. I had invited all of you in a prior session, and as I said, I think it is important, and I truly appreciate all of you that did come and look at the, the applicant's property and my property. I know there was an issue addressed about whether I cleared land or not. I hope that that has been put to rest.

The, the view and the impact is, is unaltered. I also noted with great appreciation Chairperson Taylor's comment earlier this evening that we

24

1

will look at what we are presented with, that came up in the discussion about, you know, 30, 40 beds versus 92 beds, etcetera. I appreciate how much the Planning Board and the town have invested in looking at this project of for years, and I just ask that you continue in those very diligent efforts. There was a sense in some of the commentary tonight that, well, we'll let OASAS deal with that or that might be a regulatory issue. Some of the questions indeed are not before you, they, they would be before OASAS, but some of them also cross over a bit. If it's truly not feasible, as the architect was suggesting, then what's going on? If some of the things that are going on do raise questions about feasibility, about the reliance on, on some of the promises that we're getting, I ask that you continue to dig into it and dig deep, and, and with all due respect, not punt. I think this application should be denied, not granted on the possibility that things won't be as bad as this application really presents right now, and, and figure that other agencies or regulators or

1 April 22, 2021 2 whatever may, may pull it back a bit. I do thank you for your time and particularly your visit to 3 4 my property. Thank you. 5 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. 6 MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. 7 MS. TAYLOR: Alright. 8 MR. PREZIOSI: Chairwoman Taylor, we 9 have two speakers left, Josh Marks and Alex Suss. 10 I think that'll take us to 10:00, if you're okay 11 with that? 12 MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, I will. Don't add 13 any more though at this point 'cause then it's 14 going to definitely take us over. 15 MR. PREZIOSI: Absolutely. Yep. Okay, 16 again, Josh, just state your name and address for 17 the record. 18 MR. JOSH MARKS: Hi, I'm at -- Josh Marks, I live at 7 Quaker Hill Court East. 19 20 easement through 81 goes right along my property 21 and I'm just curious, they said that plans were 22 submitted years ago, landscaping plans, plans for

intern-, inside the buildings, facilities, and

what else, and I'm just curious, is the easement

2.3

24

2.3

in those plans, and is that something new that wasn't submitted, 'cause everyone's claiming that everything is up front, and is this another question that has arised and another moving target? And that's really all I had to ask. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright, thank you very much.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. I'm going to promote Alex Suss to speaker. Just state your name and address for the record.

MR. ALEX SUSS: Hello. Do you hear me?
MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. SUSS: Yes? Great. Good evening.

I'll be really brief. As a resident of this
area, I have about six points, six points that
are cardinal to this issue, and again, forgive my
philosophical approach, but I'd like to speak
about fundamental, general issues. First, this
is a residential iss-, area. This is a
fundamental issue. Most of us, we live in this
beautiful, unique place by choice, by rational
choice. I and my family we didn't want to live

24

1

in Scarsdale on quarter of an acre or in Duxbury, we decided on Croton, we decided on what we call the greater Teatown area. And now the whole concept is under big and unpleasant cloud. I think this cloud is serious and you're making a very serious decision, so please, I would never endorse a commercial project in this area even if this was done by Mother Teresa or Chief Rabbi of Jerusalem, this is not a commercial area. point, traffic. The current studies of traffic are flawed for two reasons, COVID and this is spring. Come here, I invite you to my house, I live 500 yards from Croton, Croton Dam. Hundreds of people are coming here, bicyclists, runners, walkers, they go to the Croton Lake Road. is so important. This is -- we don't live in a bubble on 20 acres of Hudson Institute. This is my neighborhood, put yourself in my shoes. traffic is, is, is clearly an issue that will, that will not get any better with this. Another thing, I hear, and I don't understand it, you're considering 92-bed hospital. enterprise purchased 50 acres. You know it, I

24

1

know it. The 28 acres are in -- not in your jurisdiction, they are in Newcastle, correct me if I'm wrong. What happens, what guarantees do we have that three years, four, four years from now, they will say we want to double the space, we want to quad- quadruple the space? Sunshine Home is a glaring example of what happened. will you tell the neighbors if their wells dry? What will you tell us if traffic is unbearable? Please keep this in mind, this is a very serious issue. Now, as to intentions of the applicant, Mr. Davis, please for crying out loud, you claim time and again, we want to be good neighbors, we will give discount to local community, we will go to schools, prevention program, educational programs, but in the same token, there is combined properties for sale, \$27 million, charitable, nice place. Anybody wants the Brooklyn Bridge? I got it. Third issue, very important issue, home values. Don't hurt us, the home values go down between five to 20 percent, this is documented, this is studied, ask any real estate agent, it all depends on the distance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

Please don't do that. Don't hurt people. I, I think this is, this is really a huge, huge issue. Last issue, don't set the precedent. This is going to be a precedent. We have large parcels in this town. Look at next door, Rolling Stone Farm, I believe 60, 80 acres. They could raise their hand and say we want another institution, commercial enterprise. Sunshine Home, how are you doing to deal with that? Are you going to deny them? They will point to Hudson Wellness Center. This will potentially change this neighborhood forever, and it's in your hands. You are Cortlandt Board, you are our government. Please do what is good and what is right for this unique area. For us, its residents and for the generations. I do hope that you will act in the spirit of government by the people and for the Thank you for your time, thank you for people. your service. Good night.

MS. TAYLOR: Good night. Thank you very much.

MR. SUSS: Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Alrighty.

24

1	April 22, 2021
2	MR. SCHWARTZ: On the clock, on the dot.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Well, that's wonderful.
4	Alrighty. Well, I guess we, we are pretty much
5	done at this point. Is George here?
6	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, I didn't know, do
7	we need a motion to adjourn this to May 4th?
8	MS. TAYLOR: Well, you can
9	MR. KIMMERLING: I so move.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: You can do it, George.
11	MR. KIMMERLING: I so move.
12	MS. TAYLOR: You can do it, George, you
13	can do it. Thank you.
14	MR. KIMMERLING: I so move that we
15	adjourn this public hearing to the
16	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
17	MR. KIMMERLING: May 4th meeting.
18	MR. PREZIOSI: Before there's a vote, I
19	just wanted to say there was a chat question.
20	Yes, anybody can submit comments to the Town of
21	Cortlandt in writing or via e-mail or hard
22	letter. Send all comments to attention
23	christopherkehoe@townofcortlandt.com in the
24	Planning Office. Our address is on our website.

1	April 22, 2021
2	Also, you can e-mail chrisk, C-H-R-I-S-K, as in
3	Kevin, @townofcortlandt.com, and your comments
4	and letters will be added to the record and will
5	be addressed by the applicant.
6	MR. KIMMERLING: And this public hearing
7	will continue on May 4th.
8	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
9	MR. KIMMERLING: So moved.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you for that.
11	MR. KIMMERLING: I think we need a
12	second.
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: I second.
14	MS. TAYLOR: So did you second it, Jeff?
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: I did, yeah.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Okay, thank you so much.
17	Alrighty. Then, this meeting is adjourned.
18	MR. KESSLER: We need to vote.
19	MR. KEHOE: You need to vote.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Oh, come on. We want to
21	leave. Give me a break. Alright, let's do this.
22	Please.
23	MR. PREZIOSI: All in favor?
24	MS. TAYLOR: All in favor?

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Board Meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on April 22, 2021 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: June 17, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018